

Lipschitz retraction and distortion for subgroups of $\text{Out}(F_n)$

Michael Handel and Lee Mosher

May 28, 2012

Abstract

Given a free factor A of the rank n free group F_n , we characterize when the subgroup of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ that stabilizes the conjugacy class of A is distorted in $\text{Out}(F_n)$. We also prove that the image of the natural embedding of $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ in $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is nondistorted, that the stabilizer in $\text{Out}(F_n)$ of the conjugacy class of any free splitting of F_n is nondistorted, and we characterize when the stabilizer of the conjugacy class of an arbitrary free factor system of F_n is distorted. In all proofs of nondistortion, we prove the stronger statement that the subgroup in question is a Lipschitz retract. As applications we determine Dehn functions and automaticity for $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$.

Given a finitely generated group G , a finitely generated subgroup H is *undistorted* in G if the inclusion map $H \hookrightarrow G$ is a quasi-isometric embedding with respect to word metrics. Nondistortion may be verified by constructing a Lipschitz retraction $G \mapsto H$ (see Lemma 11), and this is particularly useful because it has extra consequences: if G is finitely presented, and if there is a Lipschitz retraction $G \rightarrow H$, then H is finitely presented and the Dehn function of G is dominated below by the Dehn function of H (see Proposition 12).

In the mapping class group $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$ of any closed oriented surface S , the subgroup that stabilizes the isotopy class of any essential curve or subsurface of S is a Lipschitz retract of $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$, and therefore undistorted. The proof of this fact is a simple application of the projection methods of [MM00]; see “Motivation from mapping class groups” below.

As a step in understanding the large scale geometry of the outer automorphism group $\text{Out}(F_n)$ of a rank n free group F_n , one might consider the following. The action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ on subgroups of F_n induces an action of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ on conjugacy classes of subgroups of F_n . Given a free factor $A < F_n$, the stabilizer $\text{Stab}[A]$ of its conjugacy class is a finitely generated subgroup of $\text{Out}(F_n)$. Regarding a free factor as an analogue of an essential subsurface, one might ask whether $\text{Stab}[A]$ is an undistorted subgroup of $\text{Out}(F_n)$. The answer is surprising:

Theorem 1. *Given a proper, nontrivial free factor $A < F_n$:*

- (1) *If $\text{rank}(A) = n - 1$ then $\text{Stab}[A]$ is a Lipschitz retract of $\text{Out}(F_n)$, and therefore is undistorted in $\text{Out}(F_n)$.*
- (2) *If $\text{rank}(A) \leq n - 2$ then $\text{Stab}[A]$ is distorted in $\text{Out}(F_n)$.*

Upon discovering this theorem, we searched for and found wider classes of Lipschitz retract subgroups and distorted subgroups, and we applied Lipschitz retracts to settle questions about Dehn functions and automaticity of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$:

- * Theorems 2 and 3 assert that there are subgroups isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ in both of the groups $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ which are Lipschitz retracts and therefore nondistorted.
- * Corollaries 5 and 6 say that for $n \geq 3$ the groups $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and $\text{Out}(F_n)$ have exponential Dehn functions and are not automatic.
- * Theorem 7 generalizes case (1) of Theorem 1 by providing Lipschitz retractions to stabilizers of arbitrary free splittings of F_n , which are therefore undistorted in $\text{Out}(F_n)$.
- * Theorem 8 generalizes Theorem 1 by characterizing Lipschitz retraction and distortion for stabilizers of conjugacy classes of arbitrary free factor systems of F_n .

More Lipschitz retracts, and applications.

In addition to the special case of Theorem 7 mentioned just above, here is another important special case:

Corollary 2. *There is a Lipschitz retraction from $\text{Out}(F_n)$ to a subgroup isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$, which is therefore undistorted.*

The proof is given below, as an application of Theorem 7.

Around 2002 Jose Burillo asked whether the natural $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is undistorted [Bur]. This subgroup is defined as all automorphisms of $F_n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle$ which preserve the free factor $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ and the element a_n . The methods of Theorem 1 (1) apply to answer this question affirmatively, although the proof, given in outline below, is even easier in this case; see Section 2 for full details.

Theorem 3. *There is a Lipschitz retraction from $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ to the natural subgroup isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$, which is therefore undistorted.*

Combining Theorem 2, Theorem 3, and an evident induction we obtain:

Corollary 4. *If $n \geq 4$ there are Lipschitz retractions from each of the groups $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ to subgroups isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(F_3)$.*

In [HV96], Hatcher and Vogtmann found exponential upper bounds for Dehn functions of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and $\text{Out}(F_n)$ when $n \geq 3$. In [BV95], Bridson and Vogtmann found exponential lower bounds when $n = 3$, thereby completely determining the growth types of those Dehn functions to be exponential. Lower bounds in rank ≥ 4 were left unresolved.

Combining Corollary 4 with the lower bounds in rank 3 from [BV95], and with the fact that the Dehn function of a group is dominated below by the Dehn function of any Lipschitz retract (see Proposition 12), we obtain the desired exponential lower bounds for $n \geq 4$, thus completely determining the growth types of the Dehn functions of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and $\text{Out}(F_n)$:

Corollary 5. *For all $n \geq 4$, the Dehn functions of the groups $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ have exponential lower bounds, and therefore they have exponential growth type.*

After this result and its proof were explained to participants of the conference in Luminy in June 2010, Bridson and Vogtmann were able to give another proof of Corollary 5; see [BV10].

In [BV95], Bridson and Vogtmann use their exponential lower bound for Dehn functions to prove that $\text{Aut}(F_3)$ and $\text{Out}(F_3)$ are not automatic, because the Dehn function of every automatic group has a quadratic upper bound [ECH⁺92]. They also proved that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and $\text{Out}(F_n)$ are not biautomatic for all $n \geq 4$, but automaticity was left unresolved in those cases. Using Corollary 5 we can now resolve this issue:

Corollary 6. *For all $n \geq 4$, the groups $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ are not automatic.*

Remark. The proof in [BV95] that $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ are not biautomatic is inductive, based on exploiting theorems about centralizers of finite sets in biautomatic groups due to Gersten and Short [GS91]. It seems possible to us that the method of Lipschitz retraction to subgroups may prove effective in other contexts similar to the present one for inductive verification of lower bounds on isoperimetric functions and failure of automaticity.

Stabilizers of free splittings.

The two special cases of Lipschitz retracts of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ mentioned so far — of Theorem 1 case (1); and Corollary 2 — are each best expressed in the language of trees. This leads to a rich collection of undistorted subgroups of $\text{Out}(F_n)$, as expressed in our next theorem.

Define a *free splitting* of F_n to be a minimal action of F_n on a nontrivial, simplicial tree T with trivial edge stabilizers. As is traditional in this subject, the notation T will incorporate both the tree and the action of F_n ; we shall denote the action of $g \in F_n$ on T by $x \mapsto g \cdot x$. Two free splittings T, T' are *conjugate* if there exists a simplicial isomorphism $f: T \rightarrow T'$ such that $f(g \cdot x) = g \cdot f(x)$. The group $\text{Out}(F_n)$ acts on the set of conjugacy classes of free splittings of F_n , and the stabilizer of the conjugacy class of a free splitting T is denoted $\text{Stab}[T]$. A free splitting may also be understood using Bass-Serre theory, being the Bass-Serre tree of its quotient graph of groups.

Theorem 7. *Given a free splitting T of F_n , there is a Lipschitz retraction from $\text{Out}(F_n)$ to its subgroup $\text{Stab}[T]$, which is therefore undistorted in $\text{Out}(F_n)$.*

Here, briefly, are applications of this theorem to the two special cases mentioned above.

Sketch of proof of Theorem 1 case (1). Let T be the free splitting of $F_n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ which is the Bass-Serre tree of the graph of groups X having one vertex with group label $F_n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ and having one loop edge with trivial group label and stable letter a_n . The Serre fundamental group of X [Ser80] is identified with F_n . In Section 4.1 we will prove the equation $\text{Stab}[F_{n-1}] = \text{Stab}[T]$. Combined with Theorem 7 it follows that $\text{Stab}[F_{n-1}]$ is a coarse retract of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and is therefore undistorted. $\square$

See Section 4.1 for more details of this proof in a broader setting, and see below just after the statement of Theorem 8 for a discussion of this broader setting in terms of “co-edge 1 free splittings” and “co-index 1 free factor systems”.

Proof of Corollary 2. In Lemma 18 we give a formula for the algebraic structure of a certain finite index subgroup of $\text{Stab}[T]$ for any free splitting T , expressed in terms of the structure of the quotient graph of groups $X = T/F_n$. As a special case of Lemma 18, if X has a unique vertex V labelled by a nontrivial free group A , and if V has valence 1, then $\text{Stab}[T]$ has a finite index subgroup isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(A)$. Combining this with Theorem 7 and the fact that any subgroup Lipschitz retracts to any of its finite index subgroups, in order to find a Lipschitz retract subgroup of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ it suffices by Bass-Serre theory to construct a graph of groups presentation X for F_n having one valence 1 vertex labelled with the group F_{n-1} , and all other vertices and edges labelled by the trivial group, so that the underlying graph of X has rank 1. The desired X is a “sewing needle” with two vertices V, W and two edges A, B , the edge A having one end on V and one on W , the edge B having both ends on W , and the vertex labelled by F_{n-1} being V . $\square$

Proofs of Lipschitz retraction

Section 3 contains the general proof of Theorem 7. Here is an outline of the special case from Theorem 1 (1), of a tree T whose quotient graph of groups is a loop with one vertex labelled by the free factor $A = F_{n-1}$ and one trivially labelled edge. The proof takes place in $\mathcal{K}_n$, the spine of the outer space of F_n , a locally finite, contractible simplicial complex on which $\text{Out}(F_n)$ acts properly and cocompactly. The vertices of $\mathcal{K}_n$ are the free splittings S such that the action of F_n on S is proper with every vertex of valence ≥ 3 . There is a connected subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^T$ whose vertices consist of all such S with the additional property that the minimal A -invariant subtree $S^A \subset S$ is disjoint from all of its translates by elements of $F_n - A$ and S has a unique edge orbit not contained in S^A . The group $\text{Stab}[T]$ acts properly and cocompactly on the subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^T$. Existence of a Lipschitz retract $\text{Out}(F_n) \mapsto \text{Stab}[T]$ is therefore equivalent to existence of a Lipschitz retract from the vertex set of $\mathcal{K}_n$ to the vertex set of its subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^T$; see Corollary 10 for details of this equivalence. Given a vertex $S' \in \mathcal{K}_n$, our task is therefore to construct a vertex of $\mathcal{K}_n^T$ denoted S . The given S' certainly has a well-defined minimal A -invariant subtree S'^A , but that subtree might not be disjoint from all its translates by elements of $F_n - A$. This problem is easily remedied: pull all of these translates apart, forcing them to be pairwise disjoint. One obtains a forest on which F_n acts properly and cocompactly, whose components are in one-to-one correspondence with the conjugates of A in F_n . The tricky part of the proof is to attach an orbit of edges to make this forest into the desired tree S , and to do this in a manner which is quasi-isometrically natural, in order that the map of 0-skeleta taking the free splitting S' to the free splitting S be a Lipschitz retract as desired. At the end of Section 3 we give a *Remark* explaining why some seemingly natural schemes for attaching the edges fail to satisfy the Lipschitz requirement.

Section 2 contains the detailed proof of Theorem 3. The proof takes place in the spine $\mathbb{A}_n$ of the “autre espace” of F_n [HV98]. Although $\mathbb{A}_n$ has a “tree” model like the one we use for the spine of outer space, and while the proof of Theorem 3 can be couched in terms

of the tree model, it turns out that delicate “edge attachment” problem which arises in the proof of Theorem 7 and whose solution is most propitiously expressed in tree language is not an issue in the proof of Theorem 3. The most economical proof of the latter uses the model for $\mathbb{A}_n$ couched in terms of pointed marked graphs. The spine $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a locally finite, contractible simplicial complex on which $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ acts properly and cocompactly: a 0-simplex is a connected, pointed graph with no vertex of valence 1 whose fundamental group is identified with F_n , and a 1-simplex connects one 0-simplex to a second one if the second graph is obtained from the first by collapsing a subforest. The complex $\mathbb{A}_n$ has connected subcomplex on which $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ acts properly and cocompactly. Given an arbitrary pointed F_n -marked graph G representing a vertex of $\mathbb{A}_n$, we construct a vertex in its $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ subcomplex. There are three steps. First, in the pointed universal cover $\tilde{G}$, take the F_{n-1} minimal subtree S with base point being the point closest to the base point of $\tilde{G}$. Next, take the quotient of S to get a pointed F_{n-1} marked graph. Finally, attach a loop to the new base point. The resulting pointed F_n marked graph is in the $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ subcomplex and the map defined is the desired Lipschitz retraction.

Motivation from mapping class groups. Consider a finite type, oriented surface S with mapping class group $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$. Given an essential simple closed curve $c \subset S$ with isotopy class $[c]$, its stabilizer subgroup $\text{Stab}[c] < \mathcal{MCG}(S)$ is undistorted. This fact is an immediate corollary of the Masur-Minsky summation, Theorem 6.12 of [MM00], and has also been proved by Hamenstadt in [Ham05] using train track methods. However, there is a simple proof of the stronger fact that $\text{Stab}[c]$ is a Lipschitz retract of $\mathcal{MCG}(S)$. This proof, which is not in the literature, uses only the most elementary methods concerning markings and projections taken from the early sections of [MM00]. Here is a very brief sketch. In place of the spine of outer space one uses the *marking complex* $\mathcal{M}_S$, whose vertices are “markings” μ of S , meaning pants decompositions equipped with a transverse curve on each pants curve; edges of $\mathcal{M}_S$ corresponding to “elementary moves” between markings. In $\mathcal{M}_S$ there is a subcomplex $\mathcal{M}_S^{[c]}$ consisting of markings whose pants decomposition contains the curve c . The action $\mathcal{MCG}(S) \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}_S$ is properly discontinuous and cocompact and $\mathcal{M}_S^{[c]}$ is invariant and cocompact under the restricted action of $\text{Stab}[c]$, and so by Corollary 10 it suffices to construct a Lipschitz retraction $\mathcal{M}_S \mapsto \mathcal{M}_S^{[c]}$. An arbitrary marking μ on S projects to a marking in the subcomplex $\mathcal{M}_S^{[c]}$ by surgering μ along intersections between μ and c to get another marking that contains c . As in [MM00] it is easy to check that two markings that differ by an elementary move have uniformly close images under this projection.

Stabilizers of free factor systems, and proofs of distortion.

We extend Theorem 1 as follows. A set $\mathcal{F} = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_K]\}$ of conjugacy classes of nontrivial free factors of F_n is called a *free factor system* if it is represented by subgroups $A_1, \dots, A_K$ such that there exists a free factorization of the form $F_n = A_1 * \dots * A_K * B$, where B may be trivial. The action of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ on conjugacy classes of free factors extends

to an action on free factor systems. The *co-index* of $\mathcal{F} = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_K]\}$ is the number

$$\text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) = |\chi(F_n)| - \sum_{k=1}^K |\chi(A_k)| = (n-1) - \sum_{k=1}^K (\text{rank}(A_k) - 1)$$

Topologically this is the number of edges that must be attached to the vertices of the union of K connected graphs of ranks $\text{rank}(A_1), \dots, \text{rank}(A_K)$ to make a connected graph of rank n . It is also the minimum, among all graphs of rank n having a subgraph with K components of ranks equal to $\text{rank}(A_1), \dots, \text{rank}(A_K)$, of the number of edges not in the subgraph.

Theorem 8. *Given a free factor system $\mathcal{F}$ of F_n ,*

- (1) *If $\text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ then $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ is a Lipschitz retract of $\text{Out}(F_n)$, and therefore is undistorted in $\text{Out}(F_n)$.*
- (2) *If $\text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$ then $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ is distorted in $\text{Out}(F_n)$.*

Section 4.1 contains the proof of Theorem 8 (1), by showing that for any free factor system $\mathcal{F}$ of coindex 1 there is a free splitting T with one edge orbit such that $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Stab}[T]$, and applying a result from [BFH00], Corollary 3.3.2, concerning homotopy equivalences of marked graphs that preserve a subgraph consisting of all edges but one.

Section 4.3 contains the proof of Theorem 8 (2). Here is an outline for the special case considered in Theorem 1 (2): given a proper, nontrivial free factor $A < F_n$ of rank $r \leq n-2$, we shall prove distortion of $\text{Stab}[A]$ in $\text{Out}(F_n)$. To do this we produce a sequence $\phi_k \in \text{Stab}[A]$ whose word length in $\text{Out}(F_n)$ has a linear upper bound in k but whose word length in $\text{Stab}[A]$ has an exponential lower bound in k . The sequence ϕ_k is described as follows. Let R_n be the rose with n oriented edges labelled $e_1, \dots, e_n$, whose path homotopy classes rel basepoint give a free basis of F_n also denoted $e_1, \dots, e_n$. We may assume that $A = \langle e_1, \dots, e_r \rangle$ with $1 \leq r \leq n-2$. Let $\theta \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ be defined by a homotopy equivalence $\Theta: R_n \rightarrow R_n$ which is the identity on $e_{r+2} \cup \dots \cup e_n$, and whose restriction to $e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_{r+1}$ is an irreducible train track map of exponential growth. By the train track property, the path $u_k = \Theta^k(e_1)$ has no cancellation and so may be regarded as a reduced word in the generators $e_1, \dots, e_{r+1}$. Now define $\phi_k \in \text{Stab}[A]$ by the automorphism

$$\begin{cases} e_i & \mapsto e_i & \text{if } i < n \\ e_n & \mapsto e_n u_k \end{cases}$$

From the expression $\phi_k = \theta^k \phi_0 \theta^{-k}$ one sees immediately that the word length of ϕ_k in $\text{Out}(F_n)$ has a linear upper bound in k . The hard work is to prove that the word length of ϕ_k in $\text{Stab}[A]$ has an exponential lower bound. The key properties of u_k are that it is a reduced word in the letters of the rank $r+1$ free factor $B = \langle e_1, \dots, e_r, e_{r+1} \rangle$, and that the number of occurrences of the letter e_{r+1} grows exponentially in k .

The technique at the heart of the proof is counting occurrences of e_{r+1} . Fixing any conjugacy class c in $\text{Out}(F_n)$, for any $\psi \in \text{Stab}[A]$ we will describe a way to count occurrences of the letter e_{r+1} in the conjugacy class $\psi(c)$, and we will show that this count defines a Lipschitz function on $\text{Stab}[A]$ with respect to word metric. Applying this to $c = [e_{r+2}]$ and

to any factorization of $\psi = \phi_k$ as a word in the generators of $\text{Stab}[A]$, it will follow that the word length of ϕ_k in $\text{Stab}[A]$ is bounded below by a constant multiple of the number of occurrences of e_{r+1} in u_k , which has an exponential lower bound. Section 4.1 will contain a result about corank 1 free factors which is needed to prove the Lipschitz bound, and which is applied to the inclusion of A as a corank 1 free factor of B .

Contents.

Section 1 contains preliminary results about quasi-isometric geometry, and about $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$, outer space and autre espace, and the spines thereof. In particular, Corollary 10 sets the stage for later proofs of coarse Lipschitz retraction or distortion of the subgroups of any group, by showing that these are equivalent to coarse Lipschitz retraction or distortion of corresponding subcomplexes, via the Milnor-Švarc lemma.

Proofs regarding subgroups of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ that are coarse Lipschitz retracts are contained in Sections 2, 3, and 4.1. Proofs regarding distorted subgroups are contained in Section 4.3, with supporting material in Section 4.2. The distortion proofs and the nondistortion proofs are almost entirely independent, and one can choose to read one or the other first, with overlapping material mostly isolated in Section 1. In particular, readers interested in distortion may, after reviewing relevant material in Section 1, skip straight to Section 4.2 or even to Section 4.3.

Section 2 contains the proof of Theorem 3, the existence of a coarse Lipschitz retraction $\text{Aut}(F_n) \mapsto \text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$, which is given first because of its more elementary nature.

Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 7, the existence of a coarse Lipschitz retraction $\text{Out}(F_n) \mapsto \text{Stab}[T]$ for any free splitting $F_n \curvearrowright T$. Section 3.1 describes a subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^T \subset \mathcal{K}_n$ corresponding to $\text{Stab}[T]$, and contains the proof of Lemma 18 describing the algebraic structure of (a finite index subgroup of) $\text{Stab}[T]$. Section 3.2 describes a coarse Lipschitz retraction $\mathcal{K}_n \mapsto \mathcal{K}_n^T$.

Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 8, that for any free factor system $\mathcal{F}$ of F_n the subgroup $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ is a Lipschitz retract of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ if $\text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$, and is distorted if $\text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$. Subsection 4.1 handles the case where $\text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$, by an application of Theorem 7. Subsection 4.2 describes a subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{K}_n$ corresponding to $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$. Subsection 4.3 handles the case where $\text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$ by proving that the subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ is distorted in $\mathcal{K}_n$.

Acknowledgements. We thank Kevin Whyte for pointing out the need to check finiteness of $i_{A,B}(c, T)$ in the proof of Lemma 21.

The second author would like to thank his brother-in-law John Garnett for very propitiously running out of gas and walking into town to get a fill, leaving him with the car to ponder co-edge attachments.

We would also like to thank the organizers of the June 2010 Luminy conference in honor of Karen Vogtmann, where these results were first presented.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Quasi-isometries and Lipschitz retracts

Given two metric spaces X, Y , a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$, and constants $K \geq 1$, $C \geq 0$, we say that f is K, C *coarse Lipschitz* if $d_Y(f(a), f(b)) \leq Kd_X(a, b) + C$ for all $a, b \in X$, we say that f is a K, C *quasi-isometric embedding* if it is K, C coarse Lipschitz and $\frac{1}{K}d_X(a, b) - C \leq d_Y(f(a), f(b))$ for all $a, b \in X$, and we say that f is a K, C *quasi-isometry* if it is a K, C quasi-isometric embedding and for each $y \in Y$ there exists $x \in X$ such that $d_Y(f(x), y) \leq C$. For each of these terms we often drop the reference to the constants K, C . A composition of quasi-isometries is a quasi-isometry, and any quasi-isometry $f: X \rightarrow Y$ has a *coarse inverse*, a map $\bar{f}: Y \rightarrow X$ such that each of the maps $\bar{f} \circ f$ and $f \circ \bar{f}$ move points a uniformly bounded distance; the quasi-isometry constants of $\bar{f}$ and the distance bounds for $\bar{f} \circ f$ and $f \circ \bar{f}$ depend only on the quasi-isometry constants of f .

A metric space X is *proper* if every closed ball is compact, and X is *geodesic* if for any $a, b \in X$ there is a rectifiable path from a to b whose length equals $d_X(a, b)$. For example (see Section 1.3), every simplicial complex supports a geodesic metric in which each simplex is isometric to a Euclidean simplex of side length 1; if the complex is locally finite then this metric is proper. Any action $G \curvearrowright X$ on a simplicial complex which respects simplicial coordinates is an isometry.

Lemma 9 (Milnor-Švarc [Š55, Mil68]). *For any group G and any proper, geodesic metric space X , if there exists a properly discontinuous, cocompact, isometric action $G \curvearrowright X$ then G is finitely generated. Furthermore, for any such action and any point $x \in X$, the orbit map $g \mapsto g \cdot x$ is a quasi-isometry $\mathcal{O}: G \rightarrow X$, where G is equipped with the word metric of any finite generating set.*

Given a geodesic metric space X , a subspace $Y \subset X$ is said to be *rectifiable* if any two points $x, y \in Y$ are endpoints of some path in Y which is rectifiable in X and whose length is minimal amongst all rectifiable paths in Y with endpoints x, y . It follows that minimal path length defines a geodesic metric on Y . Examples include any connected subcomplex of any connected simplicial complex, using a natural simplicial metric where each simplex is isometric to a Euclidean simple of side length 1. We say that Y is a *(coarse) Lipschitz retract* of X if there exists a *(coarse) Lipschitz retraction* $f: X \mapsto Y$, meaning a *(coarse) Lipschitz function* that restricts to the identity on Y .

Corollary 10. *If G is a finitely generated group acting properly discontinuously and co-compactly by isometries on a connected locally finite simplicial complex X , if $H < G$ is a subgroup, and if $Y \subset X$ is a nonempty connected subcomplex which is H -invariant and H -cocompact, then:*

- (1) H is finitely generated,
- (2) H is undistorted in G if and only if the inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a quasi-isometric embedding.
- (3) The following are equivalent:
 - (a) H is a Lipschitz retract of G .

- (b) The 0-skeleton of Y is a Lipschitz retract of the 0-skeleton of X .
- (c) The 1-skeleton of Y is a Lipschitz retract of the 1-skeleton of X .
- (d) Y is a coarse Lipschitz retract of X .

Proof. The actions of G on X and H on Y satisfy the hypotheses of the Milnor-Švarc lemma so (1) follows. Also, choosing a base vertex $x \in Y$, it follows that the orbit map $g \mapsto g \cdot x$ defines quasi-isometries $\mathcal{O}_G: G \mapsto X$ and $\mathcal{O}_H: H \mapsto Y$. Denoting inclusions as $i_Y: Y \hookrightarrow X$ and $i_H: H \hookrightarrow G$ we have $\mathcal{O}_G \circ i_H = i_Y \circ \mathcal{O}_H$. It follows that i_Y is a quasi-isometric embedding if and only if i_H is, proving (2). Choose $C \geq 0$ so that each point of X is within distance C of $\text{image}(\mathcal{O}_G)$ and each point of Y is within distance C of $\text{image}(\mathcal{O}_H)$.

To prove (3a) $\implies$ (3b), suppose $\pi_H: G \rightarrow H$ is a Lipschitz retraction. Define π_Y from the 0-skeleton of X to the 0-skeleton of Y as follows: given a vertex $v \in X$, choose $g_v \in G$ so that $\mathcal{O}_G(g_v) = g_v \cdot x$ is within distance C of v , and define $\pi_Y(v) = \mathcal{O}_H \circ \pi_H(g_v)$. Chasing through diagrams, π_Y is easily seen to be Lipschitz, although it might not be a retraction. But if $v \in Y$ then we can choose g_v to be in H and so $\pi_Y(v) = \mathcal{O}_H(\pi_H(g_v)) = \mathcal{O}_H(g_v) = g_v \cdot x$ is within distance C of v and so, by moving $\pi_Y(v)$ a uniformly bounded distance for all vertices v of Y , we obtain a Lipschitz retraction.

The implication (3b) $\implies$ (3c) follows by extending any Lipschitz retract of 0-skeleta continuously so as to map each edge to an edge path of bounded length. To prove the implication (3c) $\implies$ (3d), extend across each higher dimensional simplex σ by the identity on the interior of σ if $\sigma \subset Y$, and otherwise extend so that the image of the interior of σ is contained in the image of the 1-skeleton of σ ; continuity is ignored in this extension, hence one obtains only a *coarse* Lipschitz retract.

To prove (3d) $\implies$ (3a), suppose $\pi_Y: X \rightarrow Y$ is a coarse Lipschitz retract. For each $g \in G$, choose $h = \pi_H(g) \in H$ so that $\pi_Y(\mathcal{O}_G(g))$ is within distance C of $\mathcal{O}_H(h)$. Chasing through diagrams π_H is again easily seen to be Lipschitz. It might not be a retraction, but if $g \in H$ then $\mathcal{O}_G(g) = \mathcal{O}_H(g) \in Y$ is equal to $\pi_Y(\mathcal{O}_H(g))$ and is within C of $\mathcal{O}_H(h)$, and so $d_H(g, h)$ is uniformly bounded; by moving $h = \pi_H(g)$ a uniformly bounded distance to g we therefore obtain a Lipschitz retraction. $\square$

Remark. If one were not satisfied with the statement of item (3d), and if X and Y were to satisfy higher connectivity properties, then one could pursue the issue of a continuous Lipschitz retraction up through higher dimensional skeleta.

Lipschitz retracts give a handy method for verifying nondistortion:

Lemma 11. *If X is a geodesic metric space and $Y \subset X$ is a rectifiable subspace, and if Y is a coarse Lipschitz retract of X , then the inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a quasi-isometric embedding.*

Proof. By definition of a rectifiable subspace, $d_X(x, y) \leq d_Y(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in Y$. For the other direction, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a (K, C) -coarse Lipschitz retract then for all $x, y \in Y$ we have $d_Y(x, y) = d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq K d_X(x, y) + C$. $\square$

The Dehn function of a finitely presented group. Consider a finitely presented group $G = \langle g_i \mid R_j \rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, I$, $j = 1, \dots, J$. Let C be the presentation CW-complex, with one vertex, one oriented edge e_i labelled by each generator g_i , and one 2-cell σ_j whose

boundary is attached along the closed path given by each defining relator R_j , inducing an isomorphism $G \approx \pi_1(C)$. Given a *relator* in G — a word w in the generators that represents the identity element — the path in C labelled by w is null homotopic. A *Dehn diagram* for a relator w is a continuous function $d: D \rightarrow C$ defined on a closed disc D such that, with respect to some CW-structure on D , each vertex of D maps to the vertex of C , each edge of D maps to an edge path of C , the restriction $d|_{\partial D}$ is a parameterization of the edge path w , and the restriction of d to each 2-cell σ of D satisfies one of two possibilities: $d(\sigma)$ is contained in the 1-skeleton of C in which case we say that σ *collapses*; or there is a relator R_j such that the restriction of d to the boundary of σ traces out the path R_j and the restriction of d to the interior of σ is a homeomorphism to the interior of σ_j . The number of 2-cells of the latter type is denoted $\text{Area}(d)$. Denote by $\text{Area}(w)$ the minimum of $\text{Area}(d)$ over all Dehn diagrams d of w . The *Dehn function* of the presentation is the function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where $f(m)$ equals the maximum of $\text{Area}(w)$ amongst all words of length $\leq m$. If $f'(m)$ is the Dehn function of any other finite presentation of G then there exist constants $A, B, C > 0$ such that

$$f'(m) \leq A f(Bm) + Cm \quad \text{and} \quad f(m) \leq A f'(Bm) + Cm \quad \text{for any } m \in \mathbb{N}$$

More generally, given two functions $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, we say that f is *dominated above* by g or that g is *dominated below* by f if there exist constants $A, B, C > 0$ such that $f(m) \leq A g(Bm) + Cm$. In particular, for a finitely presented group G , either all of its Dehn functions are dominated below by an exponential function, or none of them are.

Proposition 12. *Given a finitely presented group G and a finitely generated subgroup H , if H is a Lipschitz retract of G then H is finitely presented and the Dehn function of G is dominated below by the Dehn function of H .*

Proof. The proof is based on familiar techniques. Choose finite generating sets for G and H so that the generators of H are a subset of the generators of G , and hence there is an inclusion of Cayley graphs $\Gamma_H \subset \Gamma_G$ which satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 10. Applying that corollary we obtain a K -Lipschitz retraction $\pi: \Gamma_G \rightarrow \Gamma_H$. Choose a finite set of defining relators for G , and let ℓ be an upper bound for their lengths. By attaching a 2-cell to each closed edge path of Γ_H of length $\leq K\ell$, the result is a simply connected 2-complex on which $\pi_1(H)$ acts properly and cocompactly, from which finite presentation of H follows, and from which one can derive the desired inequality of Dehn functions.

In more detail, for any word w in the generators of H that defines the trivial element, there is a minimal area Dehn diagram d for w defined using the relators of G . Let D be the domain of d and let $\widehat{D}$ denote the union of the 1-skeleton of D and its collapsing 2-cells. We may regard the restriction $d|_{\widehat{D}}$ as mapping into the Cayley graph of G . The composition $\pi \circ d|_{\widehat{D}}$ maps into the Cayley graph of H , and the image of the boundary of any noncollapsing 2-cell σ of D is a closed edge path in the Cayley graph of H of length at most $K\ell$, which is the boundary of one of the 2-cells attached to Γ_H . We may therefore extend $\pi \circ (d|_{\widehat{D}})$ across these 2-cells to obtain a Dehn diagram for w in the relators of H , proving that H is finitely presented. Furthermore, the area of this new Dehn diagram is equal to the area of d itself, proving that the Dehn function of G is dominated below by the Dehn function of H . $\square$

Remark The same result is true for higher dimensional isoperimetric functions of groups with higher finiteness properties, using a similar proof, where Corollary 10 is extended to (noncoarse) Lipschitz retracts between higher dimensional skeleta as remarked upon following the proof of that corollary.

1.2 Free groups.

The notation $F = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$ will mean that F is the free group with free basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$. Associated to F and its given free basis is a rose R_F , a CW 1-complex with one vertex x and with k oriented edges called *petals* labelled $a_1, \dots, a_k$, such that F is identified with $\pi_1(R_F, x)$ and each free basis element a_i is identified with the path homotopy class of the petal with the label a_i . The number k is the *rank* of F .

For the notation of this paper, $F_n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ and $R_n = R_{F_n}$ will denote a fixed rank n free group with free basis, and its associated rose. However, for the rest of Section 1, as well as in various places around the paper, we will couch some of our definitions and statements in terms of a general finite rank free group denoted F , in order that these definitions can apply as well to subgroups of F_n .

The action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(F)$ on elements $g \in F$ and subgroups of $\text{Aut}(F)$ induces an action of the outer automorphism group $\text{Out}(F) = \text{Aut}(F)/\text{Inn}(F)$ on conjugacy classes of elements and subgroups. We generally use square brackets $[\cdot]$ to denote conjugacy classes, or more generally any variety of equivalence relation on which $\text{Out}(F)$ acts.

A *free factorization* of F , written $F = A_1 * \dots * A_K$, is a set of subgroups $\{A_1, \dots, A_K\}$ with pairwise trivial intersections having the property that each nontrivial $g \in F$ can be written uniquely as a product of nontrivial elements $g = a_1 \dots a_m$ such that each a_i is an element of one of $A_1, \dots, A_K$. A *free factor system* of F is a nonempty set of nontrivial subgroup conjugacy classes of the form $\mathcal{A} = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_K]\}$ such that there exists a free factorization of the form $F = A_1 * \dots * A_K * B$, where B may be trivial; $\mathcal{A}$ is *improper* if $K = 1$ and $A_1 = F$, otherwise $\mathcal{A}$ is *proper*. The individual conjugacy classes $[A_1], \dots, [A_K] \in \mathcal{F}$ are called the *components* of $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{F}$ is *connected* if it has one component. We use literal set theory notation $\cup \mathcal{A}$ to denote the union of the elements of $\mathcal{A}$, that is, for any subgroup $A < F$, we have $A \in \cup \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $[A] \in \mathcal{A}$.

We define a partial order on free factor systems $\mathcal{A} \sqsubset \mathcal{A}'$ if and only if $\cup \mathcal{A} \subset \cup \mathcal{A}'$. Recalling the coindex defined in the introduction, we have the following elementary fact:

Lemma 13. *For any free factor systems $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$ in F , if $\mathcal{A} \sqsubset \mathcal{A}'$ then $\text{coindex}(\mathcal{A}') \leq \text{coindex}(\mathcal{A})$, with equality if and only if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}'$. $\square$*

Given an isomorphism $\rho: F \rightarrow F'$ of finite rank free groups, there is an induced map denoted ρ_* , well defined up to pre and postcomposition by inner automorphisms, from conjugacy classes in F to conjugacy classes in F' , and in particular from free factor systems in F to free factor systems in F' . We also use the notation ρ_* for similar purpose when ρ is a homotopy equivalence between finite graphs.

The action of $\text{Out}(F)$ on conjugacy classes of subgroups induces an action on free factor systems. The *stabilizer* of a free factor system $\mathcal{A}$ is the subgroup

$$\text{Stab}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\phi \in \text{Out}(F) \mid \phi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}\}$$

When $\mathcal{A} = \{[A]\}$ is connected we write $\text{Stab}[A] = \text{Stab}(\mathcal{A})$.

1.3 Graphs

In this paper a *simplicial complex* X will be the geodesic metric space associated with an abstract simplicial complex (see for example [Spa81] Chapter 3 Section 1), in which every simplex comes equipped with coordinates making it isometric to a Euclidean simplex of side length 1; these metrics on simplices extend uniquely to a geodesic metric on X which is proper if and only if X is locally finite. The underlying *CW-complex* structure also induces a *weak topology* on X which equals the metric topology if and only if the complex is locally finite.

A *graph* is a 1-dimensional CW-complex. A *simplicial tree* is a simplicial complex which is a contractible graph. A *simplicial forest* is a graph whose components are simplicial trees. On a simplicial tree we may impose a geodesic metric making each 1-cell isometric to a closed interval in $\mathbf{R}$ of any positive length, resulting in a *simplicial $\mathbf{R}$ -tree*; an *$\mathbf{R}$ -graph* is similarly defined.

A map between graphs that takes each 0-cell to a 0-cell and each 1-cell either to a 0-cell or homeomorphically to a 1-cell is called a *cellular map* or a *simplicial map* depending on the context. A surjective cellular map is called a *forest collapse* if the inverse image of any 0-cell is a tree. A bijective cellular map is called an *isomorphism*, and a self-isomorphism of a graph is called an *automorphism*.

Any graph or tree not homeomorphic to a circle and having no isolated ends has a unique *natural* cell structure with no 0-cells of valence 2; phrases such as “natural vertex” or “natural edge” will refer to this natural structure. Every CW decomposition is a refinement of this natural cell structure. Every homeomorphism of the weak topology between graphs equipped with natural cell structures is an isomorphism of those structures.

1.4 Free splittings

A *splitting* of a group G is a simplicial action of G on a nontrivial simplicial tree T such that the action is minimal, meaning no proper subtree of T is invariant under the action, and for each edge $e \subset T$ and $g \in G$, if $g(e) = e$ then g restricts to the identity on e . Formally a splitting is given by a homomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T)$ with values in the group of simplicial automorphisms of T . We will denote this by $\chi: G \curvearrowright T$, or suppressing the action just $G \curvearrowright T$ or even just by writing the tree T . We often use notation like $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x \in T$ to refer to the image of the action of $g \in G$ on $x \in T$; formally $g \cdot x = \chi(g)(x)$.

In this paper, the groups which act are all free of finite rank, and all splittings will be “free splittings” meaning that all edges have trivial edge stabilizers, and we shall immediately specialize our definitions to that context. Also, although we often work in the context of fixed rank n free group F_n and its finite rank subgroups, in this section we couch all definitions in terms of an arbitrary finite rank free group, in order to accomodate free splittings of subgroups of F_n .

A *free splitting* of a finite rank free group F is a splitting $F \curvearrowright T$ such that the stabilizer subgroup of every edge $e \subset T$ is trivial, meaning that if $g \in F$ and $g(e) = e$ then g is the identity element of F . A free splitting $F \curvearrowright T$ is *proper* if $\mathcal{F}(T) = \emptyset$, i.e. the stabilizer subgroup of every vertex is trivial; equivalently, the action is free and properly discontinuous.

A *conjugacy* from a free splitting $\chi: F \curvearrowright T$ to a free splitting $\chi': F \curvearrowright T'$ is a homeomorphism $f: T \rightarrow T'$ such that f is *equivariant*, meaning that $f \circ \chi(g) = \chi'(g) \circ f$ for all $g \in F$. We say that T and T' are *conjugate* if there exists a conjugacy $f: T \rightarrow T'$. Conjugacy is an equivalence relation amongst free splittings, and we use the notation $[T]$ to refer to the equivalence class. Note that any conjugacy f is an isomorphism of natural simplicial structures, although we do not require f to be an isomorphism of whatever subdivided simplicial structures T and T' may have.

The outer automorphism group $\text{Out}(F)$ has natural right actions on the set of conjugacy classes of free splittings: the action of $\phi \in \text{Out}(F)$ on the class of a free splitting $\chi: F \curvearrowright T$ is the class of the free splitting $\chi \cdot \phi: F \curvearrowright T$ which is well-defined up to conjugacy by the formula $\chi \cdot \phi(g) = \chi(\Phi(g))$ for any choice of an automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F)$ representing ϕ . We also denote this action by $[T] \cdot \phi$ or just $T \cdot \phi$. Notice that, suppressing the role of χ , the image of the action $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$ can be denoted as the action $(g, x) \mapsto \Phi(g) \cdot x$. The *stabilizer* of the class of a free splitting $\chi_T: F \curvearrowright T$ is the group

$$\text{Stab}[T] = \{\phi \in \text{Out}(F) \mid T \cdot \phi = T\}$$

A *semiconjugacy* from a free splitting $\chi: F \curvearrowright T$ to a free splitting $\chi': F \curvearrowright T'$ is a continuous equivariant map $f: T \rightarrow T'$ that takes vertices to vertices and restricts on each edge of T to an embedding or a constant map. Since χ and χ' are minimal, any semiconjugacy between them is surjective.

1.5 Outer space et les autres espaces

In this section, given a finite rank free group F , we review the spine of outer space denoted $\mathcal{K}_F$ on which $\text{Out}(F)$ acts, and the spine of autre espace denoted $\mathbb{A}_F$ on which $\text{Aut}(F)$ acts. Vertices of $\mathcal{K}_F$ are marked graphs, and vertices of $\mathbb{A}_F$ are marked graphs with a base point, modulo an appropriate equivalence in each case. For details and further references for this material see [Vog02]. We also consider a variation on $\mathbb{A}_F$, whose vertices are marked graphs with multiple base points.

Marked graphs. Choose a basis for F with associated rose R_F , inducing an identification $\pi_1(F) = \pi_1(R_F)$. An *F*-marked graph is a pair (G, ρ) where G is a finite *core graph* meaning that no vertex has valence 1, equipped with a homotopy equivalence $\rho: R_F \rightarrow G$ called the *marking* (the usual definition requires no vertices of valence ≤ 2 , but we will allow such vertices and simply use the natural cell structure as needed). Two marked graphs (G, ρ) and (G', ρ') are *equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G'$ such that $\rho \circ h$ and $\rho': G' \rightarrow G'$ are homotopic. We often suppress the marking ρ from the notation, using phrases like “a marked graph G ”, just as we often suppress the action in the notation for a free splitting; for instance, the equivalence class of (G, ρ) is formally denoted $[G, \rho]$ or just $[G]$ when ρ is understood. The right action of the group $\text{Out}(F)$ on equivalence classes of marked graphs is defined by $[G, \rho] \cdot \phi = [G, \rho \circ \Phi]$ where $\Phi: R_F \rightarrow R_F$ is a homotopy equivalence inducing $\phi \in \text{Out}(F) = \text{Out}(\pi_1 R_F)$.

The universal covering construction defines a bijection between the set of conjugacy classes of proper free splittings of F and the equivalence classes of F -marked graphs, which associates to each F -marked graph (G, ρ) a proper, minimal action $F \curvearrowright \tilde{G}$ on the universal

covering space which is well-defined up to precomposition by an inner automorphism of F ; the inverse of this bijection associates to each proper free splitting $F \curvearrowright T$ the quotient core graph $G = T/F$ with a marking $\rho: R_F \rightarrow G$ that is well-defined up to homotopy. The action of $\text{Out}(F)$ on conjugacy classes of free splittings descends to an action on equivalence classes of marked graphs as follows:

Given two F -marked graphs (G, ρ) , (G', ρ') and a homotopy equivalence $h: G \rightarrow G'$, we say that h *preserves marking* if $h \circ \rho$ is homotopic to ρ' .

Given an F -marked graph (G, ρ) , the marking $\rho: R_F \rightarrow G$ induces a well-defined bijection ρ_* between conjugacy classes in $F = \pi_1(R_F)$ and conjugacy classes in $\pi_1(G)$, and between free factor systems in F and free factor systems in $\pi_1(G)$. Every proper, connected, noncontractible subgraph $H \subset G$ determines a well-defined connected free factor system in $\pi_1(G)$ denoted $[\pi_1 H]$, and one in F_n denoted $[H]$ which is characterized by the equation $\rho_*[H] = [\pi_1 H]$. More generally, for every proper subgraph $H \subset G$ with noncontractible components $H_1, \dots, H_k$ there is an associated free factor system in F_n denoted $[H] = \{[H_1], \dots, [H_k]\}$.

We record the following elementary lemma for easy reference. Given an F -marked graph (G, ρ) and a natural subforest $E \subset G$, there is a quotient map $\pi: G \rightarrow G/E$ that collapses each component to a point. Each component of E clearly has ≥ 3 incident edges which are not in E , implying that every vertex of G/E has valence ≥ 3 , and so G/E is indeed a core graph. The map π is a homotopy equivalence, and so by precomposing π with the marking on G we may regard G/E as a marked graph. We refer to $\pi: G \rightarrow G/E$ as a *forest collapse of marked graphs*.

Lemma 14. *Given a forest collapse $\pi: G \rightarrow G/E = G'$ of marked graphs, let $\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{G}$ be the full pre-image of E in the universal cover of G and let $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}'$ be the F -equivariant lift of π that collapses each component of $\tilde{E}$ to a point.*

- (1) *$\tilde{\pi}$ induces a bijection between the set of edges of $\tilde{G} - \tilde{E}$ and the set of edges of $\tilde{G}'$ and similarly for π_F .*
- (2) *For any edge path in $\tilde{G}$ — a finite arc, a ray, or a line — its $\tilde{\pi}$ -image in $\tilde{G}'$ is the edge path obtained by erasing the edges in $\tilde{E}$ and indentifying the remaining edges via the bijection of item (1) and similarly for edge paths in G .*
- (3) *For each finitely generated subgroup $B < G$, the $\tilde{\pi}$ image of the B -minimal subtree of $\tilde{G}$ is the B -minimal subtree of $\tilde{G}'$.*

Proof. Items (1) and (2) are obvious. Item (3) follows from items (1) and (2) and the fact that the minimal B -subtree is characterized as the union of all axes in T for the action of all nontrivial elements of B . $\square$

The spine of outer space $\mathcal{K}_F$. This is an ordered simplicial complex whose set of 0-simplices corresponds bijectively to F -marked graphs up to equivalence, which using universal covering maps corresponds bijectively to proper, free splittings of F up to conjugacy. For each 0-simplex $\mathcal{K}_F$ represented by a marked graph G , for each $k \geq 1$, and for each properly increasing sequence of natural subforests $\emptyset \neq E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_k$ of G , there is an ordered k -simplex denoted $\Sigma(G; E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_k)$ whose i^{th} vertex is the marked graph $G_i = G_0/E_i$

obtained from $G = G_0$ by collapsing the forest E_i . In particular, for any forest collapse $G = G_0 \mapsto G_1 = G/E$ we have an oriented 1-simplex $\Sigma(G; E)$ with initial vertex G_0 and terminal vertex G_1 .

Lemma 15 (Facts about the spine).

- (1) [CV86] $\mathcal{K}_F$ is contractible. In particular, its 1-skeleton is connected.
- (2) [CV86] The action of $\text{Out}(F)$ on equivalence classes of marked graphs induces a properly discontinuous, cocompact, simplicial action $\text{Out}(F) \curvearrowright \mathcal{K}_F$.
- (3) For any vertex G of $\mathcal{K}_F$ the orbit map $\text{Out}(F) \mapsto \mathcal{K}_F$ taking G to $G \cdot \phi$ is a quasi-isometry from the word metric on $\text{Out}(F)$ to the simplicial metric on the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{K}_F$. $\square$

Remarks on the proof. Item (3) follows from item (1), item (2), local finiteness, and the Milnor-Švarc lemma.

For later purposes we remind the reader of the proofs of the various finiteness properties of the action $\text{Out}(F) \curvearrowright \mathcal{K}_F$. Cocompactness means that there are only finitely many orbits of simplices, for which it suffices to show that there are only finitely many vertex orbits. Indeed there is one orbit for each simplicial isomorphism class of core graphs whose rank equals $\text{rank}(F)$ equipped with the natural simplicial structure, and there are only finitely many such classes because the number of natural edges is bounded above by $3\text{rank}(F) - 3$. The subgroup of $\text{Out}(F)$ that stabilizes each simplex is finite; for 0-simplices this follows because the stabilizer in $\text{Out}(F)$ equals the group of simplicial isomorphisms of the underlying core graph. Local finiteness follows because each marked graph G has only finitely many natural subgraphs. From all of this it follows that the action of $\text{Out}(F)$ on $\mathcal{K}_F$ is properly discontinuous.

The spine of autre espace $\mathbb{A}_F$. An F -marked pointed graph consists of a triple (G, p, ρ) where G is a finite core graph, $p \in G$, and $\rho: (R_F, p_F) \rightarrow (G, p)$ is a pointed homotopy equivalence; when $\text{rank}(F) \geq 2$ the point $p_F \in R_F$ is the unique natural vertex, whereas when $\text{rank}(F) = 1$ the point $p_F \in R_F$ is chosen arbitrarily. We adopt the convention that G is equipped with the *relatively natural cell structure*, obtained from the natural cell structure by subdividing at the point p . Throughout Sections 1.6 and 2 we refer to this convention with phrases like ‘relatively natural vertex’, ‘relative natural subforest’, etc. Two F -marked pointed graphs (G, p, ρ) , (G', p', ρ') are *pointed equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $h: (G, p) \rightarrow (G', p')$ such that $h\rho$ and $\rho': (R_F, p_F) \rightarrow (G', p')$ are homotopic relative to p_F . One can also consider *proper, pointed free splittings* of F , that is, free splittings $F \curvearrowright T$ equipped with a base point $P \in T$, modulo the equivalence relation of *base point preserving conjugacy*.

The spine of autre espace is an ordered simplicial complex $\mathbb{A}_F$ whose 0-simplices correspond bijectively to F -marked pointed graphs up to pointed equivalence, which by universal covering space theory correspond bijectively to proper, pointed free splittings of F up to base point preserving conjugacy. For each 0-simplex represented by a pointed marked

graph (G, p, ρ) , for each $k \geq 1$, and for each properly increasing sequence of *relatively* natural subforests $\emptyset \neq E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_k$ of G , there is an ordered k -simplex whose i^{th} vertex is represented by the core graph G/E_i , with base point and marking obtained by pushing forward p and ρ via the forest collapse $f_i: G \rightarrow G/E_i$, yielding $p_i = f_i(p) \in G/E_i$ and $\rho_i = f_i \circ \rho: (R_F, p_F) \rightarrow (G/E_i, p_i)$. In particular, a 1-simplex of the ordered simplicial complex $\mathbb{A}_F$ with initial 0-simplex represented by (G, p, ρ) and terminal 0-simplex represented by (G', p', ρ') is represented by a forest collapse $h: G \rightarrow G'$ taking p to p' such that $h\rho$ and $\rho': (R_F, p_F) \rightarrow (G', p')$ are homotopic rel p_F .

The action of the group $\text{Aut}(F)$ on $\mathbb{A}_F$ is induced by the action on the vertex set which is defined by precomposing a marking $\rho: (R_F, p_F) \rightarrow (G, p)$ with a homotopy equivalence $(R_F, p_F) \mapsto (R_F, p_F)$ realizing a given automorphism. The complex $\mathbb{A}_F$ is connected, and the action of $\text{Aut}(F)$ is properly discontinuous and cocompact, with one orbit for each relatively natural isomorphism class of pairs (G, p) where G is a core graph of rank equal to $\text{rank}(F)$ and $p \in G$. Applying the Milnor-Švarc lemma, the orbit map $\text{Aut}(F_n) \mapsto \mathbb{A}_n$ is a quasi-isometry.

For later purposes we give a proof of connectivity of $\mathbb{A}_F$ by reducing it to connectivity of $\mathcal{K}_F$. There is a simplicial map $\mathbb{A}_F \mapsto \mathcal{K}_F$ which “forgets the base point”, defined on the 0-skeleton by taking the equivalence class of the pointed marked graph (G, p, ρ) to the equivalence class of the unpointed marked graph (G, ρ) . The preimage in $\mathbb{A}_F$ of the vertex represented by (G, ρ) is a connected 1-complex identified with the first barycentric subdivision of the natural cell structure on the universal covering space $\tilde{G}$: choosing the basepoint of $\tilde{G}$ to be any natural vertex determines a 0-simplex of the preimage; choosing the basepoint to lie in the interior of any natural edge of $\tilde{G}$ also determines a 0-simplex; and allowing the basepoint to move from the interior of a natural edge to either of its natural endpoints determines a 1-simplex. To prove that $\mathbb{A}_F$ is connected, it therefore suffices to show that each 1-simplex of $\mathcal{K}_F$ can be lifted via the forgetful map to a 1-simplex of $\mathbb{A}_F$. Consider a 1-simplex in $\mathcal{K}_F$ represented by a forest collapse of marked graphs $h: G \mapsto G'$, with markings $\rho: R_F \rightarrow G$ and $\rho': R_F \rightarrow G'$. By homotoping ρ and ρ' we may assume that $p = \rho(p_F) \in G$ is a natural vertex and that $\rho' = h \circ \rho$ and so $p' = h(p) \in G'$ is a natural vertex; and with these assumptions it follows that the pointed marked graphs (G, p, ρ) , (G', p', ρ') represent endpoints of a 1-simplex in $\mathbb{A}_F$ which is a lift of the given 1-simplex of $\mathcal{K}_F$.

The spine of M -pointed autre espace $\mathbb{A}_F^M$. Fix an integer $M \geq 1$. For use in Section 3.1 we need a variation of the autre espace $\mathbb{A}_F$ with its action by $\text{Aut}(F)$, which allows for an M -tuple of base points in a marked graph. The group that will act, denoted $\text{Aut}^M(F)$, is the subgroup of the M -fold direct sum $\text{Aut}(F) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Aut}(F)$ consisting of all M -tuples $(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_M)$ whose images in $\text{Out}(F)$ are all equal.

Define an M -pointed F -marked graph to be a tuple

$$(G; p_1, \dots, p_M; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_M) \quad \text{or, in shorthand,} \quad (G; (p_m); (\rho_m)), \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

where G is a finite core graph, $p_m \in G$ and $\rho_m: (R_F, p_F) \rightarrow (G, p_m)$ is a homotopy equivalence of pairs for each m , and the homotopy equivalences $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_M: R_F \rightarrow G$ are all in the same free homotopy class. The *relatively natural* cell structure on G is the one whose vertex set is the union of the natural vertices of G and the set $\{p_1, \dots, p_M\}$. Given another

M -pointed F -marked graph $(G'; (p'_m); (\rho'_m))$, we say that the two are *pointed equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism $h: (G; p_1, \dots, p_M) \rightarrow (G'; p'_1, \dots, p'_M)$ such that for each m the homotopy equivalences $h \circ \rho_m, \rho'_m: (R_F, p_F) \rightarrow (G', p'_m)$ are homotopic relative to p_F .

Alternatively, define a *proper, M -pointed free splitting* of F to be a free splitting $F \curvearrowright T$ equipped with an M -tuple of points $P_1, \dots, P_M \in T$, modulo the equivalence relation of *M -tuple preserving conjugacy*. Again the universal covering map produces a bijection between equivalence classes of M -pointed F -marked graphs and M -tuple preserving conjugacy classes of proper, M -pointed free splittings. We shall describe this bijection explicitly. Consider an M -pointed F -marked graph $(G; p_m; \rho_m)$. In the universal cover $\tilde{G} = T$ choose a lift P_1 of p_1 , which by universal covering space theory determines an action $F \curvearrowright T$ which is a proper free splitting. Also, let $\tilde{R}_F$ be the universal covering space of R_F , choose a lift P_F of p_F , determining an action $F \curvearrowright \tilde{R}_F$. Let $\tilde{\rho}_1: (\tilde{R}_F, P_F) \rightarrow (T, P_1)$ be the unique F -equivariant lift of $\rho_1: (R_F, p_F) \rightarrow (G, p_1)$. For each $m = 2, \dots, M$, any free homotopy between ρ_1 and $\rho_m: R_F \rightarrow G$ lifts to a free homotopy between $\tilde{\rho}_1$ and a certain lift $\tilde{\rho}_m: \tilde{R}_F \rightarrow T$ of ρ_m . Let $P_m = \tilde{\rho}_m(P_F)$. The M -tuple $(P_1, \dots, P_M)$ in T together with the action $F \curvearrowright T$ is a proper M -pointed free splitting, and this construction defines the desired bijection.

Define an ordered simplicial complex $\mathbb{A}_F^M$ whose 0-simplices are M -pointed F -marked graphs up to pointed equivalence, or alternatively M -pointed free splittings up to M -tuple preserving conjugacy. An ordered k -simplex of $\mathbb{A}_F^M$ is defined very much as for $\mathbb{A}_F$, starting with a choice of a 0-simplex represented by $(G; p_m; \rho_m)$ and a choice of a strictly increasing sequence of relatively natural subforests $\emptyset \neq E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_k \subset G$; the i^{th} vertex has underlying graph G/E_i , with points and markings obtained by pushing forward $p_1, \dots, p_M$ and $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_M$. In particular there is an edge from the vertex represented by $(G; p_m; \rho_m)$ to the vertex represented by $(G'; p'_m; \rho'_m)$ if and only if there is a relatively natural forest collapse $h: G \mapsto G'$ such that for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ we have $h(p_m) = p'_m$ and the homotopy equivalences $\rho'_m, h \circ \rho_m: (R_F, p_F) \rightarrow (G', p'_m)$ are homotopic rel p_F .

Connectivity of $\mathbb{A}_F^M$ is proved by induction on M , as follows. The map which forgets the last point p_m and marking ρ_m is a simplicial map $\mathbb{A}_F^M \mapsto \mathbb{A}_F^{M-1}$. As in the proof of connectivity of $\mathbb{A}_F$, the pre-image in $\mathbb{A}_F^M$ of the vertex of $\mathbb{A}_F^{M-1}$ represented by $(G; p_1, \dots, p_{M-1}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{M-1})$ is simplicially isomorphic to the universal covering space of G equipped with the lift of the first barycentric subdivision of the relatively natural cell structure of $(G, p_1, \dots, p_{M-1})$. Each 1-simplex of $\mathbb{A}_F^{M-1}$ lifts via the forgetful map to a 1-simplex of $\mathbb{A}_F^M$. The induction step follows immediately.

There is a natural group action $\text{Aut}^M(F) \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}_F^M$, where the action of $(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_M)$ on a 0-simplex represented by $(G; (p_m); (\rho_m))$ is the 0-simplex represented by $(G; (p_m); (\rho'_m))$ where the marking $\rho'_m: (R_F, p_F) \mapsto (G, p_m)$ is obtained by precomposing the marking $\rho_m: (R_F, p_F) \mapsto (G, p_m)$ with a homotopy equivalence of the pair (R_F, p_F) that represents the automorphism $\Phi_m \in \text{Aut}(F)$. Since all of the automorphisms Φ_m are in the same outer automorphism classes, and since all of the marking ρ'_m are freely homotopic, it follows that all of the composed markings ρ'_m are still freely homotopic, and so $(G, (p_m); (\rho'_m))$ does indeed represent a 0-simplex of $\mathbb{A}_F^M$. The proof that this action is properly discontinuous and cocompact with finite cell stabilizers is a simple generalization of the proof for the action $\text{Aut}(F) \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}_F$.

2 The $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$

In this section we prove Theorem 3: the naturally embedded subgroup $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1}) < \text{Aut}(F_n)$ is a Lipschitz retract of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. Fixing the free basis $F_n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle$, and identifying $F_{n-1} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle < F_n$, this subgroup consists of all $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ that preserve the subgroup $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ and fix the element a_n . We assume that the edges of the rank n rose R_n correspond to $a_1, \dots, a_n$ and that the rank $(n-1)$ rose R_{n-1} is identified with the subrose of R_n corresponding to $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$.

The $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ equivariant embedding $\mathbb{A}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{A}_n$. The embedding j is defined as follows. Start with an F_{n-1} -marked pointed graph (H, p, ρ_H) representing a point in the spine $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$, then attach to p a loop of length 1 to form a marked graph (G, p, ρ_G) , where $\rho_G \upharpoonright R_{n-1}$ equals ρ_H , and ρ_G takes the a_n loop of R_n around the newly attached loop of G .

The image $j(\mathbb{A}_{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{A}_n$ is invariant under the action of the subgroup $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1}) < \text{Aut}(F_n)$. Since $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ act properly discontinuously and cocompactly on $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $j(\mathbb{A}_{n-1})$ respectively, the hypotheses of Corollary 10 (3b) apply. We conclude that in order to prove that $\text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ is a Lipschitz retract of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ it suffices to prove that the 0-skeleton of $j(\mathbb{A}_{n-1})$ is a Lipschitz retract of the 0-skeleton of $\mathbb{A}_n$.

Retracting the 0-skeleton of $\mathbb{A}_n$ to the 0-skeleton of $j(\mathbb{A}_{n-1})$. Given an F_n -marked pointed graph (G, p, ρ) representing an arbitrary 0-simplex of $\mathbb{A}_n$ we will construct an F_{n-1} -marked pointed graph $r(G, p, \rho)$ representing a 0-simplex of $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ such that the restriction of rj to the 0-skeleton of $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ is the identity. The map $R = jr$ is then the desired retraction.

Let $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{p})$ be the universal cover with base point $\tilde{p}$ that projects to p and with the action of F_n determined by ρ and the choice of $\tilde{p}$. Let $S \subset \tilde{G}$ be the minimal F_{n-1} -subtree and let $\tilde{q} \in S$ be the nearest point to $\tilde{p}$ in S . The quotient space (K, q) of $(S, \tilde{q})$ by the action of F_{n-1} is an F_{n-1} -marked pointed graph. The marking ρ_K is an immersion that maps the edge in R_{n-1} corresponding to a_j to the projected image of the path in S from $\tilde{q}$ to $\tilde{q} \cdot a_j$. Define $r(G, p, \rho) = (K, q, \rho_K)$.

Given a 0-simplex w of $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$, letting $j(w) = (G, p, \rho_G)$ as in the definition of j , there is a connected core subgraph $H \subset G$ that contains p such that $\rho \upharpoonright R_{n-1} : R_{n-1} \rightarrow H$ is a homotopy equivalence and such $(H, p, \rho \upharpoonright R_{n-1})$ represents w . In this case, the minimal F_{n-1} -subtree $S \subset \tilde{G}$ is a component of the full pre-image of H and $\tilde{p} = \tilde{q} \in S$. Thus $r(G, p, \rho) = (H, p, \rho \upharpoonright R_{n-1})$ and $rj(w) = w$.

The Lipschitz constant of R is 1. If w and w' are 0-simplices of $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ that bound an edge in $\mathbb{A}_n$ then $j(w)$ and $j(w')$ bound an edge in $\mathbb{A}_n$. Thus j has Lipschitz constant 1. We will prove that r has Lipschitz constant 1 and hence that $R = jr$ has Lipschitz constant 1. It suffices to show that if (G, p, ρ) and (G', p', ρ') are the endpoints of an edge in $\mathbb{A}_n$ then $r(G, p, \rho) = (K, q, \rho_K)$ and $r(G', p', \rho') = (K', q', \rho_{K'})$ are either equal or are the endpoints of an edge in $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$. In other words, if (G', p', ρ') is obtained from (G, p, ρ) by collapsing each component of a non-trivial relatively natural forest $F \subset G$ to a point then $(K', q', \rho_{K'})$ is obtained from (K, q, ρ_K) by collapsing each component of a (possibly trivial) relatively natural forest F' to a point.

Let $\tilde{F} \subset \tilde{G}$ be the full pre-image of F in the universal cover $\tilde{G}$ of G and, as above, let S be the minimal F_{n-1} subtree of $\tilde{G}$. Lift the quotient map $\pi_F : G \rightarrow G'$ to the pointed universal covers, obtaining a map $\pi_{\tilde{F}} : (\tilde{G}, \tilde{p}) \rightarrow (\tilde{G}', \tilde{p}')$ that collapses each component of $\tilde{F}$ to a point. Lemma 14 implies that $S' = \pi_{\tilde{F}}(S)$ is the minimal F_{n-1} subtree of $\tilde{G}'$ and that the $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$ -image of the arc connecting $\tilde{p}$ to $\tilde{q}$ is an arc in $\tilde{G}'$ connecting $\tilde{p}'$ to $\tilde{q}' := \pi_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{q})$ that intersects S' only in $\tilde{q}'$, proving that $\tilde{q}' \in S'$ is the nearest point to $\tilde{p}'$ in S' . Moreover, the arc in S' from $\tilde{q}'$ to $\tilde{q}' \cdot a_j$ is the $\pi_{\tilde{F}}$ -image of the arc in S from $\tilde{q}$ to $\tilde{q} \cdot a_j$. Let $\tilde{F}'' = \tilde{F} \cap S$ and let $F'' \subset K$ be the projected image of $\tilde{F}''$. Then $(K', q', \rho_{K'})$ is obtained from (K, q, ρ_K) by collapsing each component of F'' to a point. However, the components of F' need not be unions of relatively natural edges of K . We therefore define the relatively natural forest F' by including a relatively natural edge in F' if and only if it is entirely contained in F'' . It is easy to check that replacing F'' by F' does not change the 0-simplex of $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ obtained by collapsing components to points. If F' is empty then $r(G, p, \rho) = r(G', p', \rho')$. Otherwise, collapsing the components of F' to points defines an edge in $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ with endpoints $r(G, p, \rho)$ and $r(G', p', \rho')$.

3 Stabilizers of free splittings in $\text{Out}(F_n)$

In this section we prove Theorem 7 — for any free splitting $F_n \curvearrowright T$, the subgroup $\text{Stab}[T]$ is a Lipschitz retract of $\text{Out}(F_n)$.

Throughout this section we drop the subscript n , using $\mathcal{K}$ to denote the spine of rank n outer space. By applying Corollary 10, it is sufficient to find a coarse Lipschitz retraction from the spine of outer space $\mathcal{K}$ to a nonempty, connected subcomplex which is invariant by and cocompact under the action of $\text{Stab}[T]$. In Section 3.1 we construct such a subcomplex $\mathcal{K}^T \subset \mathcal{K}$; the construction and verification of the properties needed to apply Corollary 10 will be obtained by showing that $\mathcal{K}^T$ is simplicially isomorphic to a certain Cartesian product of multipointed autre espaces. In Section 3.2 we construct a coarse Lipschitz retraction $\mathcal{K} \mapsto \mathcal{K}^T$. As in the proof of Theorem 3, there are two steps in defining the retraction. The first uses minimal subtrees to define marked subgraphs realizing a given free factor system. In the context of $\mathbb{A}_n$, the free factor system is just $[F_{n-1}]$; in our current context it is $\mathcal{F}(T)$. In the second step, the marked subgraphs are extended to marked graphs of full rank. This is essentially trivial in the context of $\mathbb{A}_n$ because the marked subgraph is naturally pointed and the full marked graph is obtained by attaching a marked loop to the basepoint. In our current context, this extension step is considerably more subtle; see the paragraph headed ‘Defining R ’ and the remark at the end of the section.

3.1 Free splittings, their stabilizers, and their subcomplexes

Given a free splitting $F_n \curvearrowright T$, we construct a subcomplex $\mathcal{K}^T \subset \mathcal{K}$ which is a geometric model for $\text{Stab}[T]$ as follows:

Lemma 16. *$\mathcal{K}^T$ is a connected flag subcomplex of $\mathcal{K}$ preserved by $\text{Stab}[T]$, and the action $\text{Stab}[T] \curvearrowright \mathcal{K}^T$ is properly discontinuous and cocompact. It follows that $\text{Stab}[T]$ is finitely generated, and that for any vertex $[S]$ of $\mathcal{K}^T$ the orbit map $\text{Stab}[T] \mapsto \mathcal{K}^T$ taking ϕ to $[S] \cdot \phi$ is a quasi-isometry.*

The second sentence follows from the first by the Milnor-Švarc lemma.

The definition of $\mathcal{K}^T$ and proof of Lemma 16 takes up the rest of this section, during which we fix the free splitting $F \curvearrowright T$ and an F -invariant natural simplicial structure on T . For any $\phi \in \text{Stab}[T]$ and any representative $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ we denote $h_\Phi: T \rightarrow T$ to be the simplicial homeomorphism uniquely characterized by the property that $h_\Phi \circ \Phi(g) = g \circ h_\Phi: T \rightarrow T$ for all $g \in F_n$.

Definition 17 (Definition of $\mathcal{K}^T$). Given a proper free splitting $F_n \curvearrowright S$, a semiconjugacy $f: S \rightarrow T$ is *tight* if the following hold:

- (1) For any $V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}(T)$ the subgraph $S^V := f^{-1}(V)$ is the $\text{Stab}(V)$ -minimal subtree of S .
- (2) The map f is injective over the set $T - \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}(T)$.

The 0-simplices $\mathcal{K}_0^T$ are those proper free splittings $F_n \curvearrowright S$ for which there exists a tight semiconjugacy $f: S \rightarrow T$. Define $\mathcal{K}^T \subset \mathcal{K}$ to be the flag subcomplex with 0-skeleton $\mathcal{K}_0^T$.

$\text{Stab}[T]$ invariance of $\mathcal{K}^T$ follows from invariance of $\mathcal{K}_0^T$: given $\phi \in \text{Stab}[T]$ and a 0-simplex $[S] \in \mathcal{K}_0^T$ with tight semiconjugacy $f: S \rightarrow T$, for any representative $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F)$ it follows that $h_\Phi \circ f: S \rightarrow T$ is tight semiconjugacy for $[S] \cdot \phi$ which is therefore in $\mathcal{K}_0^T$.

After establishing some notation used in this section and the next, we state Lemma 18 which provides an explicit description of $\text{Stab}[T]$ and $\mathcal{K}^T$ from which the remaining clauses of Lemma 16 immediately follow.

Notational conventions for T and $\mathcal{K}^T$. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}} = \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}(T)$ be the vertices of T with nontrivial stabilizer and let L be the number of orbits of the action $F_n \curvearrowright \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$. Let $X = T/F_n$ be the quotient graph of groups, with simplicial structure inherited from the natural structure on T . Let $X^{\text{nt}} = \{v_1, \dots, v_L\} \subset X$ be image of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$, in other words, the vertices of X that are labelled by nontrivial subgroups. For each $\ell = 1, \dots, L$, let M_ℓ denote the valence of v_ℓ in X^{nt} , and let $\eta_{\ell 1}, \dots, \eta_{\ell M_\ell}$ enumerate the oriented edges of X^{nt} with initial vertex v_ℓ . Choose a lift $V_\ell \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$ of each v_ℓ , and let $A_\ell = \text{Stab}(V_\ell)$, so $\mathcal{F}(T) = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_L]\}$. The action of A_ℓ on oriented edges of T with initial vertex V_ℓ has M_ℓ orbits; choose orbit representatives denoted $\tilde{\eta}_{\ell 1}, \dots, \tilde{\eta}_{\ell M_\ell}$, with $\tilde{\eta}_{\ell m}$ mapping to $\eta_{\ell m}$.

With notation fixed as in the previous paragraph, henceforth we will let ℓ take values in $1, \dots, L$ and, when the value of ℓ is fixed, we will let m take values in $1, \dots, M_\ell$.

Define the *co-edge forest* of T to be the F_n -forest $\hat{T}$ obtained from T by removing the vertex subset $\mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$ and taking the completion: for each ℓ, m and each $g \in F_n$, after removing the initial vertex $g \cdot V_\ell$ of the oriented edge $g \cdot \tilde{\eta}_{\ell m} \subset T$, we add a valence 1 vertex denoted $\hat{V}_{\ell m g} \in \hat{T}$ in its place. There is a natural equivariant simplicial quotient map $\hat{T} \rightarrow T$ that is M_ℓ -to-one over each $g \cdot V_\ell$ and is otherwise injective, taking each $\hat{V}_{\ell m g}$ to $g \cdot V_\ell$.

Given a tight semiconjugacy $f: S \rightarrow T$, denoting the map to the quotient marked graph as $q: S \rightarrow S/F_n = G$, and recalling from Definition 17 the minimal subtree S^{V_ℓ} for the restricted action of $\text{Stab}(V_\ell)$, the subgraphs $H_\ell = q(S^{V_\ell})$ are pairwise disjoint, connected, core subgraphs representing the free factor system $\mathcal{F}(T) = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_L]\}$. Letting $[S] = [G]$ be the initial 0-simplex of some k -simplex $\Sigma(G; E_1, \dots, E_k) \subset \mathcal{K}$, we have $\Sigma(G; E_1, \dots, E_k) \subset \mathcal{K}^T$ if and only if $E_i \subset H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_L$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, if and only if $E_k \subset H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_L$.

Explicit description of $\text{Stab}[T]$. For any $\phi \in \text{Stab}[T]$ and any choice of $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ representing ϕ , the simplicial homeomorphism $h_\Phi: T \rightarrow T$ descends to a corresponding simplicial homeomorphism of the quotient graph of groups X which is well-defined independent of the choice of Φ . This defines a simplicial action $\text{Stab}[T] \curvearrowright X$ whose kernel is a finite index subgroup denoted $\text{Stab}^f[T]$.

Lemma 18. *For each free splitting $F \curvearrowright T$ with notation as above, there exists a group isomorphism*

$$\text{Stab}^f[T] \approx \text{Aut}^{M_1}(A_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Aut}^{M_L}(A_L) \quad (1)$$

and a simplicial homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{K}^T \approx \mathbb{A}_{A_1}^{M_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{A}_{A_L}^{M_L} \quad (2)$$

such that the actions $\text{Stab}^f[T] \curvearrowright \mathcal{K}^T$ and $\text{Aut}^{M_\ell}(A_\ell) \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}_{A_\ell}^{M_\ell}$ agree, for each ℓ .

Lemma 16 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 18 and the fact, proved in Section 1.5, that $\text{Aut}^{M_\ell}(A_\ell) \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}_{A_\ell}^{M_\ell}$ is a properly discontinuous, cocompact action on a connected complex. We shall construct the simplicial homeomorphism $\mathcal{I}$ only on 1-skeleta, which suffices for application to Lemma 16; the full description of $\mathcal{I}$ is left to the reader.

The homeomorphism $\mathcal{I}$ on 0-skeleta. Given $[S] \in \mathcal{K}_0^T$ with tight semiconjugacy $f: S \rightarrow T$, for each ℓ, m let $\hat{\eta}_{\ell m}$ be the unique natural oriented edge of S such that $f(\hat{\eta}_{\ell m}) = \hat{\eta}_{\ell m}$. Let $Q_{\ell m} \in S^{V_\ell}$ be the initial vertex of $\hat{\eta}_{\ell m}$; note that if $g \in F_n$, the point $g \cdot Q_{\ell m} \in S^{g \cdot V_\ell}$ is the initial vertex of $g \cdot \hat{\eta}_{\ell m}$. Taking the action $A_\ell \curvearrowright S^{V_\ell}$ together with the M_ℓ tuple of points $Q_{\ell 1}, \dots, Q_{\ell M_\ell} \in S^{V_\ell}$, we obtain an M -pointed proper A_ℓ splitting representing a 0-simplex $\mathcal{I}_\ell[S] \in \mathbb{A}_{A_\ell}^{M_\ell}$. Define the 0-simplex $\mathcal{I}[S] = (\mathcal{I}_1[S], \dots, \mathcal{I}_L[S]) \in \mathbb{A}_{A_1}^{M_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{A}_{A_L}^{M_L}$.

For the inverse of $\mathcal{I}$, a 0-simplex of $\mathbb{A}_{A_1}^{M_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{A}_{A_L}^{M_L}$ is determined by the following data for each ℓ : a proper, free splitting $A_\ell \curvearrowright S_\ell$, and an M_ℓ -tuple of points $Q_{\ell 1}, \dots, Q_{\ell M_\ell} \in S_\ell$. From this data we construct a 0 simplex $[S] \in \mathcal{K}^T$ as follows. Defining $S^{V_\ell} = S_\ell$, the L -tuple of actions $A_\ell \curvearrowright S^{V_\ell}$ extends uniquely (up to conjugacy of F_n actions on graphs) to an ambient action $F_n \curvearrowright \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V$ on a forest having one component S^V for each $V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$ so that S^V is stabilized by $\text{Stab}[V]$ and so that the action of $\mathcal{A}_\ell = \text{Stab}[V_\ell]$ on $S^{V_\ell} = S_\ell$ is the action originally given; a formal description of this action, which we leave to the reader, comes from the standard representation theory formula for inducing a subgroup representation up to a representation of the ambient group.

Define S be the quotient graph of the disjoint union of the co-edge forest $\hat{T}$ and the forest $\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V$ obtained by equivariantly identifying each valence 1 vertex $\hat{V}_{\ell m g} \in \hat{T}$ with the point $g \cdot Q_{\ell m} \in S^{g \cdot V_\ell}$. The actions of F_n on $\hat{T}$ and $\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V$ clearly combine to give an action $F_n \curvearrowright S$. The equivariant maps $\hat{T} \mapsto T$, $\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V \mapsto \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}} \subset T$ clearly induce an equivariant map $f: S \mapsto T$. The graph S is a tree because T is a tree and the inverse image of each point of T is a subtree of S . Minimality of the action $F \curvearrowright S$ follows from minimality of the actions $A_\ell \curvearrowright S_\ell$ and $F_n \curvearrowright T$. The map $S \mapsto T$ is a tight semiconjugacy by construction.

Clearly these two constructions are inverse functions of each other, and so $\mathcal{I}$ is indeed a bijection of 0-skeleta in (2).

The homeomorphism $\mathcal{I}$ on 1-skeleta. Consider a proper, free splitting $F \curvearrowright S$ and quotient marked graph $q: S \rightarrow S/F = G$ representing a 0-simplex $[S] = [G] \in \mathcal{K}^T$. It suffices to prove that $\mathcal{I}$ restricts to a bijection between the set of terminal 0-simplices of those 1-simplices in $\mathcal{K}^T$ with initial point $[G]$, and the set of terminal 0-simplices of those 1-simplices in $\mathbb{A}_{A_1}^{M_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{A}_{A_L}^{M_L}$ with initial point $\mathcal{I}[G]$. The image 0-simplex $\mathcal{I}[G] = (\mathcal{I}_1[G], \dots, \mathcal{I}_L[G])$ is as described above, where each $\mathcal{I}_\ell[G]$ is represented by an M_ℓ -pointed A_ℓ -marked graph with underlying graph $H_\ell = q(S^{V_\ell})$ and M_ℓ -tuple of points $q(Q_{\ell m}) \in H_\ell$; the M_ℓ -tuple of pointed markings is understood. The 1-simplices of $\mathcal{K}^T$ with initial vertex $[G]$ have the form $\Sigma(G; E)$ where $E \subset H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_L$ is a nonempty natural subforest of G . Each intersection $E_\ell = E \cap H_\ell$ determines an ordered simplex of $\mathbb{A}_{A_\ell}^{M_\ell}$ having initial 0-simplex $\mathcal{I}_\ell[S]$, of dimension 0 or 1 depending on whether E_ℓ is empty or nonempty, at least one of which has dimension 1 because at least one of E_ℓ is nonempty; taking these together we obtain a 1-simplex $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma(G; E)) \in \mathbb{A}_{A_1}^{M_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{A}_{A_L}^{M_L}$ with initial point $\mathcal{I}[G]$. Clearly an arbitrary such L -tuple $(E_1, \dots, E_L)$ can occur, since we can choose any subforest $E_\ell \subset H_\ell$, empty or not, as long as at least one is nonempty, and then we take $E = E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_L$. We therefore obtain a bijection of 1-simplices with initial point $[G]$ and 1-simplices with initial point $\mathcal{I}[G]$, and from the construction the terminal points of these 1-simplices clearly correspond under $\mathcal{I}$, as required.

The action isomorphism for $\text{Stab}^f[T]$. For each $\phi \in \text{Stab}^f[T]$, and each ℓ , all representatives $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ of ϕ have the property that h_Φ preserves the F -orbit of each V_ℓ , and so amongst these representatives there exists one that satisfies $h_\Phi(V_\ell) = V_\ell$; any such Φ preserves $A_\ell = \text{Stab}(V_\ell)$ and therefore gives an outer automorphism of A_ℓ which is well-defined independent of the choice of Φ , thereby producing a homomorphism $\theta_\ell: \text{Stab}^f[T] \rightarrow \text{Out}(A_\ell)$. For each ℓ, m , all representatives $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ of ϕ for which $h_\Phi(V_\ell) = V_\ell$ have the property that h_Φ preserves the orbit of the oriented edge $\tilde{\eta}_{\ell m}$ under the action of $\text{Stab}(V_\ell)$, and so amongst these representatives there exists one which satisfies the further restriction that $h_\Phi(\tilde{\eta}_{\ell m}) = \tilde{\eta}_{\ell m}$. This gives a homomorphism $\Theta_\ell^m: \text{Stab}^f[T] \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_\ell)$ well-defined independent of the restricted choice of Φ . By construction each Θ_ℓ^m is a lift of θ_ℓ , and so letting m vary we obtain a homomorphism $\Theta_\ell = (\Theta_1^m, \dots, \Theta_\ell^{M_\ell}): \text{Stab}^f[T] \rightarrow \text{Aut}^{M_\ell}(A_\ell)$. Letting ℓ vary we obtain a homomorphism $\Theta = (\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_L): \text{Stab}^f[T] \rightarrow \text{Aut}^{M_1}(A_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Aut}^{M_L}(A_L)$.

By construction, the two actions of $\text{Stab}^f[T]$ of $\mathbb{A}_{A_1}^{M_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{A}_{A_L}^{M_L}$ coincide — one coming from the homomorphism Θ , and the other from the action $\text{Stab}^f[T] \curvearrowright \mathcal{K}^T$ followed by the simplicial isomorphism $\mathcal{K}^T \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \mathbb{A}_{A_1}^{M_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{A}_{A_L}^{M_L}$. From injectivity of the action $\text{Stab}^f[T] \curvearrowright \mathcal{K}^T$ it follows that Θ is injective.

For surjectivity of Θ we switch to the marked graph point of view. Pick a marked graph G representing a vertex of $\mathcal{K}^T$, with subgraphs $H_1, \dots, H_L$ representing $[A_1], \dots, [A_L]$. By collapsing a maximal forest of each H_ℓ to a point we may assume that H_ℓ is a rose whose base vertex q_ℓ equals its frontier in G . By projecting a tight semiconjugacy $\tilde{G} \mapsto T$ we obtain a quotient map $G \mapsto X$ which collapses each H_ℓ to v_ℓ and is otherwise injective. For ℓ, m let $e_{\ell m}$ be the oriented edge of G whose image in X is $\eta_{\ell m}$, and so $e_{\ell m}$ has initial vertex q_ℓ . Let $\epsilon_{\ell m}$ be the initial quarter of the oriented 1-simplex $e_{\ell m}$, and so as ℓ, m vary the oriented arcs $\epsilon_{\ell m}$ are pairwise disjoint except possibly at their initial points. Picking a base point for G and paths to q_ℓ for each ℓ , and rechoosing A_ℓ in its conjugacy class if necessary, we determine an

isomorphism $A_\ell \approx \pi_1(H_\ell, q_\ell)$. An arbitrary $\Psi \in \text{Aut}^{M_1}(A_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Aut}^{M_L}(A_L)$ is determined by choosing $\Psi_{\ell m} \in \text{Aut}(A_\ell)$ for each ℓ, m , subject to the requirement that for fixed ℓ all of the $\Psi_{\ell m}$ represent the same element of $\text{Out}(A_\ell)$. This data is realized by a homotopy equivalence $f: G \rightarrow G$ as follows. First, f is the identity on $G \setminus ((\bigcup_\ell H_\ell) \cup \bigcup_{\ell m} \epsilon_{\ell m})$. Next, for each ℓ , f is the identity on $\epsilon_{\ell 1}$ and $f|_{H_\ell}: (H_\ell, q_\ell) \rightarrow (H_\ell, q_\ell)$ is chosen to represent $\Psi_{\ell 1}$. Finally, for each ℓ and each $m = 2, \dots, M_\ell$, the automorphisms $\Psi_{\ell 1}$ and $\Psi_{\ell m}$ differ by an inner automorphism of A_ℓ which is represented by a closed path $\gamma_{\ell m}$ in H_ℓ based at q_ℓ , and $f(\epsilon_{\ell m}) = \gamma_{\ell m} \epsilon_{\ell m}$. The element $\theta \in \text{Out}(F)$ defined by the homotopy equivalence $f: G \rightarrow G$ is clearly in $\text{Stab}^f[T]$, and by construction $\Theta(\theta) = \Psi$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 16.

3.2 Retracting $\mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{K}^T$

To prove Theorem 7, by combining Corollary 10, Lemma 11, and Lemma 16 it suffices to prove:

Theorem 19. *For any free splitting $F_n \curvearrowright T$, there exists a coarse Lipschitz retraction of 0-skeleta $R: \mathcal{K}_0 \mapsto \mathcal{K}_0^T$.*

Throughout this section we will freely use the “Notational conventions for T and $\mathcal{K}^T$ ” established in Section 3.1.

Suppose that we are given the following data:

- (D1) An action $F_n \curvearrowright \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V$ on a forest having one component S^V for each $V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$, so that S^V is stabilized by $\text{Stab}[V]$ and the action $\text{Stab}[V] \curvearrowright S^V$ is properly discontinuous and minimal.
- (D2) A point $Q_{\ell m} \in S^{V_\ell}$, for each $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ and each $m = 1, \dots, M_\ell$.

From (D1) and (D2) we construct a 0-simplex $[S] \in \mathcal{K}_0^T$ using the exact method used in the proof of Lemma 16 for verifying surjectivity of the map $\mathcal{I}$ on 0-skeleta. As a graph, S is the disjoint union of the co-edge forest $\widehat{T}$ and the forest $\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V$, modulo the identification of each valence 1 vertex $\widehat{V}_{\ell m g} \in \widehat{T}$ with the point $g \cdot Q_{\ell m} \in S^{g \cdot V_\ell}$. The actions of F_n on $\widehat{T}$ and on $\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V$ are clearly consistent with these identifications, and so they combine to induce a simplicial action $F_n \curvearrowright S$. There is an equivariant simplicial map $f: S \rightarrow T$ induced by the map that takes S^V to $V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}} \subset T$ and by the natural quotient map $\widehat{T} \rightarrow T$. Since the pre-image of each point of T is a point or a tree in S , the graph S is a tree. The action $F_n \curvearrowright S$ is minimal because of minimality of the actions $\text{Stab}[V] \curvearrowright S^V$ and $F_n \curvearrowright T$. By construction the action $F_n \curvearrowright S$ is proper, and $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a tight semiconjugacy, and so we have the desired 0-simplex $[S]$.

Defining the map $R: \mathcal{K}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_0^T$. Given an arbitrary 0-simplex $[S'] \in \mathcal{K}$ represented by a proper, free splitting $F_n \curvearrowright S'$, for each $V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$ let $S^V \subset S'$ be the minimal subtree for the restricted action $\text{Stab}(V) \curvearrowright S'$. These subtrees S^V need not be pairwise disjoint in S' . Pull them apart by forming their disjoint union over $\mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$, resulting in a forest $\coprod_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V$ equipped with an action of F_n that evidently satisfies data condition (D1) — formally one

may identify this disjoint union with the set of ordered pairs $(x, V) \in S' \times \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$ such that $x \in S^V$.

The central idea of the proof is a method for describing the (D2) data of points $Q_{\ell m} \in S^{V_\ell}$ that is needed in order to complete with the definition of $R[S']$. Choose once and for all a base 0-simplex $[S_0] \in \mathcal{K}_0^T$, represented by a proper free splitting $F_n \curvearrowright S_0$ with tight semiconjugacy $S_0 \mapsto T$. Each of the oriented edges $\tilde{\eta}_{\ell m}$ in T has a unique pre-image in S_0 that we denote $e_{\ell m}^0$. Choose once and for all, for each ℓ and m , a ray $\gamma_{\ell m}^0$ in S_0 with initial oriented edge $e_{\ell m}^0$. Let $\xi_{\ell m} \in \partial F_n$ be the ideal endpoint of $\gamma_{\ell m}$. Note that $\xi_{\ell m} \notin \partial A_\ell$ because $e_{\ell m}$ points out of $S_0^{V_\ell}$. To specify the data (D2) for $R[S']$, for each ℓ, m let $Q'_{\ell m}$ be the point in S^{V_ℓ} closest to $\xi_{\ell m}$ — that is, defining $\gamma_{\ell m}(S')$ to be the unique ray in S' with ideal endpoint $\xi_{\ell m}$ whose intersection with S^{V_ℓ} equals its base point, let $Q'_{\ell m}$ be the base point. In the disjoint union $\coprod_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V$, let $Q_{\ell m} \in S^{V_\ell}$ be the point corresponding to $Q'_{\ell m}$ (formally $Q_{\ell m}$ is the ordered pair $(Q'_{\ell m}, V^\ell)$). This completes the data specification (D2), and we may now complete the definition of $R[S']$ as above.

Example. Fix a basis $F_n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle$ and identify $F_{n-1} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle < F_n$ as usual. Let R_n be the rank n rose with oriented edges $e_1, \dots, e_n$ corresponding to $a_1, \dots, a_n$, and identify $R_{n-1} = e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_{n-1} \subset R_n$. Equip the universal cover $\tilde{R}_n$ with an F_n action using a lift $P \in \tilde{R}_n$ of the unique vertex $p \in R_n$ as a basepoint. Let $\tilde{R}_{n-1}$ be the component of the full pre-image of R_{n-1} that contains P . Let T be the free splitting obtained from $\tilde{R}_n$ by collapsing to a point each translate of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}$; let V be the point to which $\tilde{R}_{n-1}$ itself collapses. The collapse map $\tilde{R}_n \rightarrow T$ is a tight semiconjugacy, and we choose the base simplex $[S_0]$ of $\mathcal{K}_0^T$ to be represented by the proper free splitting $F_n \curvearrowright \tilde{R}_n$. The quotient graph of groups $X = T/F_n$ is obtained from R_n by collapsing R_{n-1} to a single point v , and having a single edge identified with e_n . In X there are two oriented edges: η_1 which is e_n with positive orientation, and η_2 with opposite orientation. The action of a_n on T has an axis which passes through V ; let $\tilde{\eta}_1, \tilde{\eta}_2$ be the lifts of η_1, η_2 on that axis with initial vertex V . The action of a_n on $\tilde{R}_n$ has an axis which touches $\tilde{R}_{n-1}$ uniquely at P , and this axis is a union of two rays γ_1^0, γ_2^0 intersecting at P , so that the initial oriented edge e_i^0 of γ_i^0 maps to $\tilde{\eta}_i$ under the collapse map $\tilde{R}_n \rightarrow T$. With these choices, the ideal endpoints ξ_1, ξ_2 of γ_1^0, γ_2^0 are the attracting and repelling fixed points, respectively, for the action of a_n on ∂F_n . For any proper free splitting $F_n \curvearrowright S'$, letting $S^V \subset S'$ denote the minimal subtree for the action of F_{n-1} as usual, and letting $L^{a_n} \subset S'$ denote the oriented axis of a_n , if $L \cap S^V$ is empty or a single point then $Q'_1 = Q'_2$ is the point of S^V closest to L , and otherwise Q'_1, Q'_2 are the terminal and initial points, respectively, of the oriented arc $L \cap S^V$.

The map R is a retraction. We prove that R fixes each 0-simplex of $\mathcal{K}_0^T$, using induction on distance from $[S_0]$ in the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{K}^T$, starting with $R[S_0] = [S_0]$ which is clear by construction. Consider an oriented 1-simplex of the form $\Sigma(G_1, E) \subset \mathcal{K}^T$, with initial 0-simplex $[S_1] = [G_1]$ and terminal 0-simplex $[S_2] = [G_2]$ where $G_2 = G_1/E$ and $E \subset G_1$ is a nontrivial natural subforest. The induction step reduces to proving that $R[S_1] = [S_1]$ if and only if $R[S_2] = [S_2]$; the “only if” direction is used when $[S_1]$ is closest to $[S_0]$, the “if” direction when $[S_2]$ is closest.

Let $\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{G}_1 = S_1$ be the total lift of E , and so $S_2 = \tilde{G}_2$ is obtained from S_1 by collapsing to a point each component of the forest $\tilde{E}$. Letting $g: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ be the collapse map, and

letting $f_i: S_i \rightarrow T$ be tight semiconjugacies, we note that $f_2 \circ g = f_1$. For each $i = 1, 2$ and each ℓ, m , consider the unique oriented edge $e_{\ell m}^i \subset S_i$ for which $f_i(e_{\ell m}^i) = \tilde{\eta}_{\ell m}$, and consider also the ray $\gamma_{\ell m}^i \subset S_i$ with ideal endpoint $\xi_{\ell m}$ that intersects $S_i^{V_\ell}$ solely in its base point. Note that the trees $S_i^{V_\ell}$ are already pairwise disjoint in S_i as required for the (D1) data, and that the tree S_i can then be reconstructed using the (D2) data where $Q_{\ell m}^i$ is the initial point of $e_{\ell m}^i$. This shows that the equation $R[S_i] = S_i$ is equivalent to the statement that $e_{\ell m}^i$ is the initial edge of the ray $\gamma_{\ell m}^i$. It therefore remains to prove that $e_{\ell m}^1$ is the initial oriented edge of $\gamma_{\ell m}^1$ if and only if $e_{\ell m}^2$ is the initial oriented edge of $\gamma_{\ell m}^2$.

Let $e^i \subset \gamma_{\ell m}^i$ be the initial oriented edges. By Lemma 14, the image $g(\gamma_{\ell m}^1) \subset S_2$ is a ray. Since $g: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ is an equivariant quasi-isometry, the ideal endpoint of $g(\gamma_{\ell m}^1)$ is still $\xi_{\ell m}$. Since $e^1 \not\subset \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S^V$, we have $e^1 \not\subset \tilde{E}$, in other words e^1 is not collapsed by g . It follows that $g(e^1)$ is the initial oriented edge of $g(\gamma_{\ell m}^1)$. Also, since $g(S_1^{V_\ell}) = S_2^{V_\ell}$, it follows that the initial endpoint of $g(e^1)$ is the unique point in which $g(\gamma_{\ell m}^1)$ intersects $S_2^{V_\ell}$, and so $g(\gamma_{\ell m}^1) = \gamma_{\ell m}^2$ and $g(e^1) = e^2$. Since $f_2 \circ g = f_1$, it follows that $f_1(e^1) = \tilde{\eta}_{\ell m}$ if and only if $f_2(e^2) = \tilde{\eta}_{\ell m}$. Since f_1, f_2 are injective over each edge of T , it follows that $e^1 = e_{\ell m}^1$ if and only if $e^2 = e_{\ell m}^2$.

The Lipschitz constant is 1. Consider 0-simplices $[S'_i] = [G'_i] \in \mathcal{K}_0$ ($i = 1, 2$) represented by proper free splittings $F \curvearrowright S'_i$ with quotient graphs of groups G'_i , and suppose that $[S'_1], [S'_2]$ are endpoints of a 1-simplex in $\mathcal{K}$. To show that the map R has Lipschitz constant 1 it suffices to show that $[S_1] = R[S'_1]$, $[S_2] = R[S'_2]$ are either equal or are endpoints of a 1-simplex in $\mathcal{K}^T$.

Up to reordering we have $G'_2 = G'_1/E'$ for some nontrivial natural subforest $E' \subset G'_1$. Letting $\tilde{E}' \subset \tilde{G}'_1 = S'_1$ be the full preimage, the quotient map $G'_1 \mapsto G'_2$ lifts to an equivariant simplicial map $\pi': S'_1 \rightarrow S'_2$ that collapses each component of $\tilde{E}'$ to a point. In particular, π' induces the identity map on ∂F_n . For each $V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$, letting $S_1^V \subset S'_1$, $S_2^V \subset S'_2$ denote the $\text{Stab}(V)$ minimal subtrees, Lemma 14 implies that $\pi'(S_1^V) = S_2^V$. Define $\tilde{E}^V = \tilde{E}' \cap S_1^V$, and note that the restricted map $\pi^V = (\pi' \mid S_1^V): S_1^V \rightarrow S_2^V$ collapses each component of $\tilde{E}^V$ to a point. After forming the disjoint union of the trees S_i^V over $\mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$ as formally described earlier, and forming the disjunction union of the subforests $\tilde{E}^V$ over $\mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$ — formally described as those pairs (x, V) where $x \in \tilde{E}^V$, $V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}$ — the collection of maps π^V induces an F -equivariant map of forests $\Pi: \coprod_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S_1^V \rightarrow \coprod_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} S_2^V$ that collapses to a point each component of the forest $\tilde{E} = \coprod_{V \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{nt}}} \tilde{E}^V$.

We next extend the collapse map Π over the attachments of the co-edge forest $\hat{T}$. For each $i = 1, 2$ and each ℓ, m , let $\gamma_{\ell m}^i \subset S'_i$ be the unique ray with ideal endpoint $\xi_{\ell m}$ intersecting $S_i^{V_\ell}$ solely in its base points $Q_{\ell m}^i$. By Lemma 14 it also follows that $\pi'(\gamma_{\ell m}^1)$ is a ray in S'_2 . Its ideal endpoint is still $\xi_{\ell m}$. Letting $\alpha \subset \gamma_{\ell m}^1$ be the largest initial subsegment of $\gamma_{\ell m}^1$ such that $\pi'(\alpha)$ is a point, it follows that $\pi'(Q_{\ell m}^1) = \pi'(\alpha)$ is the initial point of the ray $\pi'(\gamma_{\ell m}^1)$, that initial point is contained in $S_2^{V_\ell}$, and that initial point is the sole point of intersection of the ray $\pi'(\gamma_{\ell m}^1)$ with $S_2^{V_\ell}$; it follows that $\pi'(\gamma_{\ell m}^1) = \gamma_{\ell m}^2$ and that $\pi'(Q_{\ell m}^1) = Q_{\ell m}^2$. After pulling things apart, it follows that $\Pi(Q_{\ell m}^1) = Q_{\ell m}^2$. Since, in forming S_i , the valence 1 vertex $V_{\ell m} \in \hat{T}$ is attached to $Q_{\ell m}^i \in \coprod S_i^V$, and since the attachments are extended equivariantly, it follows that Π extends to an equivariant map

$\Pi: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$.

By construction, Π is the equivariant quotient map that collapses to a point each component of the forest $\tilde{E} \subset \coprod S_1^V \subset S_1$, and so Π descends to a map of quotient marked graphs $G_1 = S_1/F_n \xrightarrow{\pi} S_2/F_n = G_2$ that collapses to a point each component of $E = \tilde{E}/B_n \subset G_1$. If E contains no natural edge then the map π is homotopic to a homeomorphism and $[S_1] = [S_2]$. Otherwise, letting $\hat{E} \subset E$ be the union of natural edges in E , the map $\pi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is homotopic to a map which collapses each component of $\hat{E}$ to a point, and so there is a 1-simplex $\Sigma(G_1, \hat{E})$ with initial vertex $[S_1]$ and terminal vertex $[S_2]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 19.

Remark. Our definition of $R: \mathcal{K}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_0^T$ depends on a fairly arbitrary choice of points $\xi_{\ell m} \in \partial F_n$. It is tempting to make more canonical choices using one of various other approaches, based on graph theoretic or metric considerations, or based on surgery arguments using Hatcher's sphere complex [Hat95]. Indeed, we tried several such approaches but none of them gave Lipschitz retractions. As an illustration, we return to the example that follows the definition of R , using a construction that arises naturally from the point of view of the sphere complex. If $[S'] \in \mathcal{K}^T$ then $S^V \cap a_n \cdot S^V = \emptyset$ from which it follows that Q'_1 is the point of S^V closest to $a_n \cdot S^V$ and Q'_2 is the point of S^V closest to $a_n^{-1} \cdot S^V$. Otherwise, $S^V \cap a_n S^V$ is a finite tree τ (which has a natural description in sphere complex language), and we tried choosing Q'_1 to be the centroid of τ , and similarly Q'_2 to be the centroid of the tree $a_n^{-1}(\tau) = S^V \cap a_n^{-1} \cdot S^V$. This approach defines a retraction of $\mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{K}^T$ that one can show is not Lipschitz. We also considered approaches which somehow pick out a certain valence 1 vertex of τ (corresponding to picking out an “innermost disc” in sphere complex language), but no such approach that we tried yields a Lipschitz retraction.

4 Stabilizers of free factor systems in $\text{Out}(F_n)$

In this section we prove Theorem 8, regarding coarse Lipschitz retraction versus distortion for the subgroup $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F}) < \text{Out}(F_n)$ stabilizing a free factor system $\mathcal{F}$ of F_n .

In section 4.1 we prove Theorem 8 item (1); this is the *only* place in Section 4 where we will appeal to the results of either of Sections 2 or 3. In section 4.2 we study a subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{K}_n$ corresponding to free factor system $\mathcal{F}$, to which Corollary 10 can be applied. In section 4.3 we prove distortion of $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ in $\text{Out}(F_n)$ when $\text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$, by proving distortion of $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\mathcal{K}_n$.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 8 item (1).

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a free factor system of coindex 1 in F_n . Choose a marked graph G in which $\mathcal{F}$ is realized by a core subgraph H such that each component of H is a rose and such that the complement of H is a single oriented edge E . Define T to be the free splitting obtained from the universal cover $\tilde{G}$ of G by collapsing each component of the full pre-image $\tilde{H}$ of H to a point. In light of Theorem 7, it suffices to show that $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Stab}[T]$.

The inclusion $\text{Stab}[T] \subset \text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ follows from $\mathcal{F}(T) = \mathcal{F}$, which is immediate from the definition of T . For the reverse inclusion, suppose that $\phi(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$. Choose a homotopy equivalence $f: G \rightarrow G$ that represents ϕ and that preserves H . By Corollary 3.2.2 of

[BFH00] there are (possibly trivial) paths $u, v \in H$ such that either $f(E) = uEv$ or $f(E) = uE^{-1}v$. In either case, f lifts to $\tilde{f}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ that preserves $\tilde{H}$, inducing a bijection on the set of components of $\tilde{H}$, and such that the image of any edge in the complement of $\tilde{H}$ crosses exactly one edge in the complement of $\tilde{H}$. Thus $\tilde{f}$ induces a conjugacy between the given action $F_n \curvearrowright T$ and the precomposition of that action by an automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ that represents ϕ , and it follows that $\phi \in \text{Stab}[T]$.

4.2 Free factor systems, their stabilizers, and their subcomplexes.

Fix a proper free factor system $\mathcal{F} = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_k]\}$ of F_n and define a flag subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{K}_n$ by requiring that a 0-simplex $[(G, \rho)] \in \mathcal{K}_n$ is in $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ if and only if G has a core subgraph H satisfying $\mathcal{F} = [H]$.

Lemma 20. *$\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a connected subcomplex of $\mathcal{K}_n$, preserved by $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$, and with finitely many orbits of cells under the action of $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$. It follows that $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ is finitely generated, and that for any 0-simplex $[(G, \rho)]$ of $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ the orbit map $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F}) \mapsto \mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ taking ϕ to $[(G, \rho)]\phi$ is a quasi-isometry.*

Proof. The second sentence follows from the first, by applying the Milnor-Švarc lemma.

To prove that $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ leaves $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ invariant, it suffices to prove that its 0-simplices are invariant. Consider a 0-simplex $[(G, \rho)]$ in $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ with subgraph $H \subset G$ with $[H] = \mathcal{F}$ — to be more explicit, under the isomorphism $\rho_*: F_n = \pi_1(R_n) \rightarrow \pi_1(G)$ we have $\rho_*(\mathcal{F}) = [H]$. Consider $\phi \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ represented by a self-homotopy equivalence $\Phi: R_n \rightarrow R_n$, so $\Phi_*(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ and $(G, \rho)\phi = (G, \rho\Phi)$. We have $(\rho\Phi)_*(\mathcal{F}) = \rho_*\Phi_*(\mathcal{F}) = \rho_*(\mathcal{F}) = [H]$. It follows that the subgraph H still represents the free factor system $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the marking $\rho\Phi$ on the graph G , proving that $[(G, \rho)]\phi \in \mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$.

To prove that $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ has only finitely many $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ orbits of cells, again it suffices to prove this for 0-cells. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_k]\}$. Consider the set of triples (G, ρ, H) such that $[(G, \rho)]$ is a 0-simplex of $\mathcal{K}_n$ and $H \subset G$ is a subgraph with k noncontractible components, having ranks equal to $\text{rank}(A_1), \dots, \text{rank}(A_k)$, respectively. Define an equivalence relation on this set of triples, where (G, ρ, H) is equivalent to (G', ρ', H') if there exists an isometry $h: G \rightarrow G'$ such that $h(H) = H'$ and $h \circ \rho$ is homotopic to ρ' . The group $\text{Out}(F_n)$ acts on such equivalence classes just as it does on marked graphs themselves, and there are only finitely many orbits of this action, by the same proof that $\mathcal{K}_n$ has only finitely many $\text{Out}(F_n)$ 0-simplex orbits. It therefore suffices to prove that if (G, ρ) and (G', ρ') represent 0-simplices of $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$, if $H \subset G$, $H' \subset G'$ are subgraphs with $[H] = [H'] = \mathcal{F}$, and if the triples (G, ρ, H) , (G', ρ', H') are in the same $\text{Out}(F_n)$ orbit, then they are in the same $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ orbit. Choose $\phi \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ so that $(G, \rho, H)\phi$ is equivalent to (G', ρ', H') , so there exists an isometry $h: G \rightarrow G'$ and there exists a homotopy equivalence $\Phi: R_n \rightarrow R_n$ representing ϕ such that $h(H) = H'$ and $h \circ \rho$ is homotopic to $\rho' \circ \Phi$. We have

$$\phi_*\mathcal{F} = \phi_*\rho_*^{-1}[\pi_1 H] = (\rho')_*^{-1}h_*[\pi_1 H] = (\rho')_*^{-1}[\pi_1 H'] = \mathcal{F}$$

and so $\phi \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$.

We prove connectivity of the subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ using a Stallings fold argument. Choose a base vertex $[G'] \in \mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ so that each component of the core subgraph $H' \subset G'$ realizing $\mathcal{F}$

is a rose and so that all vertices of G' are contained in H' (we are suppressing F_n -markings from the notation for marked graphs). Given an arbitrary vertex $[G] \in \mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ we must find an edge path in $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ connecting $[G']$ to $[G]$. By successively collapsing to a point each edge of $G \setminus H$ having exactly one endpoint in H , and collapsing to a point each edge in H with distinct endpoints, we may assume that each component of H is a rose with one vertex and that all vertices of G are contained in H . By moving each component of H through the connected autre espace of the corresponding component of $\mathcal{F}$, we may assume that the components of H and H' corresponding to the same component $[A_i] \in \mathcal{F}$ are equivalent as pointed marked A_i graphs. It follows that there exists a homotopy equivalence $h: (G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')$ that preserves F_n -markings, takes vertices to vertices, and restricts to a homeomorphism $H \mapsto H'$. Furthermore, the restriction of h to each edge e of $G \setminus H$ is homotopically nontrivial rel endpoints, and so by homotoping $h \mid e$ rel endpoints we may assume that $h \mid e$ is an immersion.

Now factor h as a composition of Stallings folds

$$G = G_0 \xrightarrow{h_1} G_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_{k-1} \xrightarrow{h_k} G_k = G'$$

and recall the following properties: each G_i is a marked graph and each h_i preserves markings; for each $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, denoting $g_i = h_k \circ \cdots \circ h_{i+1}: G_i \rightarrow G'$, there exist oriented edges $e_{i1}, e_{i2} \subset G_i$ with the same initial vertex, and there exist initial segments $\eta_{i1} \subset g_{i1}$ such that $g_i(\eta_{i1})$ and $g_i(\eta_{i2})$ are equal as edge paths in G' , the initial segments η_{i1}, η_{i2} are maximal with respect to this property, and the map h_i identifies the segments η_{i1}, η_{i2} respecting g_i -images. Since h restricts to a homeomorphism from H to H' it follows that in each G_i there is a subgraph H_i such that h_i restricts to a homeomorphism from H_{i-1} to H_i . It follows that each H_i is a core subgraph representing $\mathcal{F}$ and so $[G_i] \in \mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$ for each i .

Proceeding by induction on k , we may assume that $[G_1], [G']$ are connected by an edge path in $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$, and it remains to find an edge path connecting $[G_0]$ and $[G_1]$. The fold map $h_1: G_0 \rightarrow G_1$ may be factored as $G_0 \xrightarrow{q'} G' \xrightarrow{q''} G_1$ where q' identifies initial segments of η_{i1} and η_{i2} which are proper in e_{i1}, e_{i2} , respectively, and q'' identifies the remaining segments of η_{i1}, η_{i2} . Since h_1 restricts to a homeomorphism from H_0 to H_1 it follows that q' restricts to a homeomorphism from H_0 to H' and q'' restricts to a homeomorphism from H' to H_1 , and again it follows that H' is a core subgraph representing $\mathcal{F}$ and so $[G'] \in \mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$. There is a forest collapse $G' \rightarrow G_0$ which collapses to a point the natural segment $q'(\eta_{i1}) = q'(\eta_{i2}) \subset G'$, and so $[G], [G']$ are endpoints of an edge of $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$. There is also a forest collapse $G' \rightarrow G_1$ which collapses to a point the union of the two segments $q'(e_{i1} - \eta_{i1})$ and $q'(e_{i2} - \eta_{i2})$ (one or both of which may be proper segments of natural edges), and it follows that $[G'], [G_1]$ are either equal or connected by an edge. $\square$

4.3 Stabilizers of free factor systems of coindex ≥ 2 in $\text{Out}(F_n)$

In this section we prove the remaining half of Theorem 8: if $\mathcal{F}$ is a free factor system of F_n with $\text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$ then $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ is distorted in $\text{Out}(F_n)$. We give full details for the special case of a connected free factor system considered in Theorem 1: if A is a nontrivial free factor of F_n of rank $\leq n-2$ then $\text{Stab}[A]$ is distorted. Afterwards we discuss the changes needed to handle the disconnected case.

Case 1: Connected free factor systems. Fix r with $1 \leq r \leq n - 2$, and fix A to be the free factor of $F_n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ given by $A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_r \rangle$. We shall prove exponential distortion of $\text{Stab}[A]$ by exhibiting a sequence $\phi_k \in \text{Stab}[A]$ whose word length in $\text{Out}(F_n)$ has a linear upper bound in terms of k , but whose word length in $\text{Stab}[A]$ has an exponential lower bound. This will suffice for proving exponential distortion of every connected rank r free factor system, because each is the image of $[A]$ under the action of some element of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and so its stabilizer is the image of $\text{Stab}[A]$ under conjugation by that element.

Let $m = r + 1$ so $2 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Let $\theta \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ be represented by any homotopy equivalence $\Theta: R_n \rightarrow R_n$ which is the identity on the subrose $R_n \setminus R_m = e_{m+1} \cup \dots \cup e_n$, and restricts to an exponentially growing train track map on R_m . For concreteness we may take

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(e_1) &= e_1 e_m \\ \Theta(e_i) &= e_{i-1} \quad \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq m \\ \Theta(e_i) &= e_i \quad \text{if } m+1 \leq i \leq n\end{aligned}$$

which is easily seen to be a homotopy equivalence since $m \geq 2$. This map Θ is a train track map, meaning that for all $k \geq 0$ the restriction of Θ^k to each edge is an edge path without cancellation; this is true because Θ is a positive map, respecting orientation of edges. Also, by an application of the Perron-Frobenius theorem [Haw08], the action of Θ on R_m is exponentially growing, meaning that there exist constants $C > 0$, $b > 1$ such that for all $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ and all $k \geq 1$ the number of occurrences of e_j in the edge path $\Theta^k(e_i)$ exceeds Cb^k ; again this uses that $m \geq 2$.

Recall from the introduction the sequence $\phi_k \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ represented by homotopy equivalences $\Phi_k: R_n \rightarrow R_n$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_k(e_i) &= e_i \quad \text{if } i < n \\ \Phi_k(e_n) &= e_n u_k\end{aligned}$$

where $u_k = \Theta^k(e_1)$. From this expression we clearly have $\phi_k \in \text{Stab}[A]$. Letting $\bar{\Theta}: R_n \rightarrow R_n$ be a homotopy inverse for Θ which maps R_m to itself and is the identity on $R_n \setminus R_m$, the homotopy equivalence $\Theta^k \Phi \bar{\Theta}^k: R_n \rightarrow R_n$ represents ϕ_k . We therefore have $\phi_k = \theta^k \phi_0 \theta^{-k} \in \text{Out}(F_n)$, an expression which patently demonstrates that the word length of ϕ_k in the group $\text{Out}(F_n)$ has a linear upper bound in k . It remains to prove that the word length of ϕ_k in the group $\text{Stab}[A]$ has an exponential lower bound.

For future reference we note that $\Theta^k \Phi \bar{\Theta}^k$ preserves the subrose R_m and restricts to a map homotopic to the identity on R_m because it is the result of a homotopy conjugation of the identity map $\Phi|_{R_m}$. Denoting $B = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ and noting that $[B] = [R_m]$, we have the following generalization of the fact that $\phi_k \in \text{Stab}[A]$:

- For any free factor system $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \sqsubset [B]$ we have $\phi_k(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$, and so $\phi_k \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$.

Recall that a vertex of the subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_n^{[A]} \subset \mathcal{K}_n$ is represented by marked graph G in which $[A] = [H]$ for some core subgraph $H \subset G$. Given such a G and H , let S be the minimal B -subtree of the universal cover $\tilde{G}$ and let K be the marked B -graph that is the

quotient of S by B . The simplicial structure induced on K by the natural structure on G need not be the same as the natural structure on K . Since $A < B$ and since A is realized by a core subgraph in G , the minimal A -subtree S_A of $\tilde{G}$ is contained in S and is disjoint from each of its non-identical translates by elements of B . Thus, the quotient graph K_A obtained from S_A by dividing out by the action of A is a core subgraph $K_A \subset K$ with $[K_A] = [A]$. As the difference in rank between A and B is 1, the complement of K_A in K is either a single natural edge with both endpoints in K_A or a loop that is disjoint from K_A union a natural edge that connects the loop to K_A . In the former case we let E be the unique natural edge in the complement of K_A and in the latter case we let E be the loop in the complement of K_A .

For any nontrivial conjugacy class γ in F_n that is not represented by an element of B , we shall define a non-negative integer

$$i(\gamma, G) = i_{A,B}(\gamma, G)$$

where the subscripts A and B are suppressed when they are clear by context. First we define $i_{A,B}(c, G)$ for any c in γ as follows. Let L_c be the axis in $\tilde{G}$ for the action of c . Since $c \notin B$, the intersection of L_c with S is a finite path in S that projects to a finite path μ in K whose endpoints need not be natural vertices. Define $i_{A,B}(c, G)$ to be the number of times that μ entirely crosses E in either direction. Then define $i_{A,B}(\gamma, G)$ to be the supremum, over all $c \in F_n$ in the conjugacy class γ , of $i_{A,B}(c, G)$.

To see that $i_{A,B}(\gamma, G)$ is finite, note that $i_{A,B}(c^b, G) = i_{A,B}(c, G)$ for all $b \in B$ because $L_{c^b} \cap S$ and $L_c \cap S$ project to the same path in K . For any given compact subset $X \subset \tilde{G}$ there exist only finitely many elements c in the conjugacy class of γ such that $L_c \cap X$ is non-trivial. Choosing X to be a fundamental domain in S for the action of B , it follows that every B -orbit in γ that has nontrivial intersection with S has a representative that intersects X . It follows that $i_{A,B}(\gamma, G)$ is the maximum value of $i_{A,B}(c, G)$ as c varies over the finitely many elements in the conjugacy class of γ such that $L_c \cap X$ is non-empty. Since each $i_{A,B}(c, G)$ is finite by construction, the maximum value is also finite.

We note the following properties of $i_{A,B}(\gamma, G)$:

Conjugacy invariance $i_{A,B}(\gamma, G)$ depends only on the conjugacy classes of A , B , and the equivalence class of the marked graph G .

Equivariance $i_{A,B}(\gamma, G\psi) = i_{\psi[A], \psi[B]}(\psi(\gamma), G)$ for each $\psi \in \text{Out}(F_n)$.

The quantity $i_{A,B}(\gamma, G)$ plays the same role in our proof of an exponential lower bound as is played by the function $\tilde{\alpha}(\gamma)$ in Alibegović's paper [Ali02], where an infinite cyclic subgroup $\text{Out}(F_n)$ is proved to be undistorted by providing a linear lower bound to the word length of the powers of the generator. The quantity $\tilde{\alpha}(\gamma)$ is a “count” of the maximum number of consecutive fundamental domains of other group elements that occur inside the axes of elements in the conjugacy class γ . Lemma 2.4 of [Ali02] says that this count barely changes under small moves, namely when $\tilde{\alpha}(\gamma)$ is replaced by $\tilde{\alpha}(g(\gamma))$ for a generator g of $\text{Out}(F_n)$.

The following lemma shows that $i_{A,B}(\gamma, G)$ barely changes under small moves, namely as G moves along an edge in $\mathcal{K}_n^{[A]}$.

Lemma 21. *For any nontrivial conjugacy class γ in F_n which is not represented by an element of B , the map $G \mapsto i_{A,B}(\gamma, G)$ is an integer valued 2-Lipschitz function on the vertex set of the complex $\mathcal{K}_n^{[A]}$.*

Accepting this lemma for the moment, we complete the proof of distortion of $\text{Stab}[A]$ by finding an exponential lower bound in k to the word length of ϕ_k in the group $\text{Stab}[A]$.

Let $(G_0, \rho_0) = (R_n, Id) \in \mathcal{K}_n^{[A]}$. Since A is represented by the subrose $R_r = R_{m-1}$ and B by the subrose R_m , it follows that for any conjugacy class γ in F_n that is not contained in R_m , the number $i_{A,B}(\gamma, G_0)$ may be computed as follows: enumerate the maximal subpaths in R_m of the unique circuit in R_n that represents γ , count the number of occurrences of e_m (in either direction) in each such subpath, and take the maximum count over all such subpaths.

Let γ_0 be the conjugacy class in F_n of e_n . Note that $i_{A,B}(\gamma_0, G_0) = 0$. Let $\gamma_k = \phi_k(\gamma_0)$, which is the conjugacy class in F_n represented by

$$\Phi_k(e_n) = \Theta^k \Phi \bar{\Theta}^k(e_n) = \Theta^k \Phi(e_n) = \Theta^k(e_n e_1) = e_n \Theta^k(e_1)$$

which is clearly a circuit in R_n , because $\Theta \mid R_m$ is a train track map; also, this circuit is not contained in R_m . Since this circuit has exactly one maximal subpath intersecting the subrose R_m , namely $\Theta^k(e_1)$, it follows that $i_{A,B}(\gamma_k, G_0)$ is equal to the number of occurrences of e_m in the edge path $\Theta^k(e_1)$. As described above after the definition of Θ , this number has an exponential lower bound in k .

It follows that the number

$$|i_{A,B}(\gamma_0, G_0 \phi_k) - i_{A,B}(\gamma_0, G_0)| = i_{A,B}(\gamma_0, G_0 \phi_k) = i_{\phi_k[A], \phi_k[B]}(\phi_k(\gamma_0), G_0) = i_{A,B}(\gamma_k, G_0)$$

has an exponential lower bound in k . Applying Lemma 21, the length of the shortest path in $\mathcal{K}_n^{[A]}$ from G_0 to $G_0 \phi_k$ has an exponential lower bound in k . Applying Lemma 20 and Corollary 10, the word length of ϕ_k has an exponential lower bound in k , finishing the proof of distortion of $\text{Stab}[A]$.

Proof of Lemma 21. Consider two marked graphs G, G' representing 0-simplices in $\mathcal{K}_n^{[A]}$. We must show that if these 0-simplices are connected by a 1-simplex and if c is contained in the conjugacy class of γ then $|i(c, G) - i(c, G')| \leq 2$.

Let S' be the minimal B' -subtree of $\tilde{G}'$, let K' be its quotient under the action of B and let K'_A be the core subgraph of K' such that $[K'_A] = [A]$. Let L'_c be the axis for the action of c on $\tilde{G}'$. The projected image of $L_c \cap S$ is a finite path μ in K , and the projected image of $L'_c \cap S'$ is a finite path μ' in K' . If the complement of K'_A in K' is a single natural edge, denote that edge by E' . Otherwise the complement of K'_A in K' is a loop that we denote E' , connected to K'_A by a natural edge.

We may assume without loss that G' is obtained from G by collapsing a subforest $F \subset G$; let $\pi_F : G \rightarrow G'$ be the corresponding quotient map. Lift $\pi_F : G \rightarrow G'$ to the quotient map $\pi_{\tilde{F}} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}'$ that collapses each component of the full pre-image $\tilde{F}$ of F to a point. By Lemma 14, $\pi_{\tilde{F}}(S, L_a \cap S) = (S', L'_a \cap S')$. Letting $F_K \subset K$ be the forest that is the quotient of $\tilde{F} \cap S$ by the action of B and letting π_{F_K} be the quotient map that collapses each component of F_K to a point, it follows that $\pi_{F_K}(K, \mu) = (K', \mu')$.

In what follows, one should keep in mind that F_K is a union of edges in the induced simplicial structure K but not necessarily in the natural simplicial structure on K .

We claim that E is not contained in F_K and moreover that $\pi_{F_K}(E) = E'$. If E is the only natural edge in the complement of K_A then this follows from the fact that $\pi_{F_K}(K_A) = K'_A$ and the fact that the interior of E' is the complement of K'_A . If E is a loop that is disjoint from H then F_K does not contain E because F_K is a forest, so $\pi_{F_K}(E)$ is a loop in K' that intersects K'_A in either zero or one points; in zero points then $\pi_{F_K}(E)$ is a loop in the complement of K'_A and if 1 point then the arc connecting E to K_A was contained in F_K so the interior of $\pi_{F_K}(E)$ is the complement of K'_A . In both cases, $\pi_{F_K}(E) = E'$. This completes the proof of the claim.

With the possible exception of initial and terminal segments that are properly contained in natural edges, μ factors as a concatenation of natural edges. The π_{F_K} image of a natural edge e crosses E' if and only if $e = E$ or $e = E^{-1}$ in which case it crosses E' exactly once. The π_{F_K} image of the initial segment of E crosses E' either zero or one time and similarly for the terminal segment of E . This proves that $i(c, G) \leq i(c, G') \leq i(c, G) + 2$ as desired. $\square$

This completes the proof of the corank ≥ 2 case of Theorem 1, which is the case of a connected free factor system of co-index ≥ 2 in Theorem 8.

Case 2: Free factor systems with 2 components. We now describe how to adapt the proof of distortion for stabilizers of connected free factor systems to the case of the stabilizer of a two component free factor system $\mathcal{F} = \{[A_0], [A_1]\}$ such that $I = \text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$. Our proof will have features that will allow us to apply it also to the case of free factor systems with ≥ 3 components.

Let G' be a marked graph having rose subgraphs H'_0, H'_1, H'_2 , an edge η'_0 oriented from the vertex $v'_0 \in H'_0$ to the vertex $v'_1 \in H'_1$, and an edge η'_1 oriented from the vertex $v'_2 \in H'_2$ to the vertex v'_1 , such that $[H'_0] = [A_0]$ and $[H'_1] = [A_1]$. Since $\text{rank}(H'_2) = \text{coindex}(\mathcal{F}) - 1 \geq 1$, it follows that the rose H'_2 does not degenerate to a single point and so the graph G' has all vertices of valence ≥ 3 , as required for a marked graph. Collapsing the edge η'_0 results in a marked graph G and an edge collapse map $G' \mapsto G$ which preserves marking. Under this collapse map, for each $i = 0, 1, 2$ the subgraph H'_i goes by homeomorphism to a subgraph $H_i \subset G$. Also, the subgraph $H'_0 \cup \eta'_0 \cup H'_1$ goes by homotopy equivalence to the rose subgraph $H = H_0 \cup H_1 \subset G$, and we denote $m = \text{rank}(H) = \text{rank}(H'_0) + \text{rank}(H'_1) \geq 2$; and the edge η'_1 goes by homeomorphism to an edge $\eta_1 \subset G$ connecting the vertex $v_2 \in H_2$ to the vertex $v \in H$.

We define the sequence of outer automorphisms $\phi_k \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ by picking representative homotopy equivalences $\Phi_k: G \rightarrow G$ which restrict to the identity on $G \setminus \eta_1 = H \cup H_2$ and which satisfy $\Phi_k(\eta_1) = \eta_1 u_k$ for an appropriately chosen sequence of closed paths u_k in H . To be precise, enumerate the edges of H as $e_1, \dots, e_m$, then let $\theta \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ be represented by a homotopy equivalence $\Theta: G \rightarrow G$ which is the identity on $G \setminus H$ and whose restriction to the rose H is given explicitly by the same train track map used in the connected case, and then use the same sequence $u_k = \Theta^k(e_1)$.

The outer automorphism ϕ_k preserves the free factor system $\{[H], [H_1]\}$ and restricts to the identity element on each of the groups $\text{Out}(\pi_1(H))$ and $\text{Out}(\pi_1(H_1))$. It follows that

ϕ_k also preserves any free factor system $\mathcal{A} \sqsubset \{[H], [H_1]\} = \{[H'_0 \cup \eta'_0 \cup H'_1], [H'_2]\}$, and so $\phi_k \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{A})$; this fact will be needed later. For the moment, we apply this fact to the case that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F} = \{[H'_0], [H'_1]\}$ to conclude that $\phi_k \in \text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$, as needed.

Noting that Φ_k is homotopic to $\Theta^k \Phi_0 \bar{\Theta}^k$, where $\bar{\Theta}$ is any homotopy inverse for Θ that preserves H and is the identity on $G \setminus H$, we see that the word length in $\text{Out}(F_n)$ of $\phi_k = \theta^k \phi_0 \theta^{-k}$ has a linear upper bound, as needed.

We would like to get an exponential lower bound for the word length of ϕ_k in $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ by counting occurrences of the edge η'_0 in the path u_k . This does not quite make sense because η'_0 and u_k live in different marked graphs G', G , but we can relate them by using the edge collapse $G' \mapsto G$ and counting subpaths of u_k which cross between H_0 and H_1 .

We claim that the number of two-edge subpaths of u_k which cross between H_0 and H_1 has an exponential lower bound in k . To see why, first note that there exists k_0 and $j = 1, \dots, m$ such that the edge path $\Theta^{k_0}(e_j)$ contains at least two copies of every edge in H , and so it contains some edge of H_0 which comes before some edge of H_1 . By connectivity, $\Theta^{k_0}(e_j)$ contains a subpath $\rho = e_{i_0} e_{i_1}$ with e_{i_0} in H_0 and e_{i_1} in H_1 . Next, noting that $\Theta^{k-k_0}(e_1)$ contains an exponentially growing number of copies of e_j , it follows that $u_k = \Theta^k(e_1)$ contains an exponentially growing number of copies of ρ , proving the claim.

Now we pull the description of ϕ_k back to the marked graph G' . The edges of $H'_0 \cup H'_1$ can be oriented and listed as $e'_1, \dots, e'_m$ so that the edge collapse $G' \mapsto G$ takes e'_i to e_i . Let u'_k be the unique closed edge path in G' with initial and terminal points at v'_1 such that the edge path in G obtained from u'_k by collapsing each copy of η'_0 is equal to u_k . To be precise, u'_k is obtained from u_k by adding prime symbols $'$ to the edges in the edge path u_k , inserting a copy of η'_0 or $\bar{\eta}'_0$ wherever u_k has a two edge subpath which crosses between H_0 and H_1 , inserting a copy of $\bar{\eta}'_0$ at the beginning if u_k starts with an edge of H_0 , and inserting a copy of η'_0 at the end if u_k ends with an edge of H_0 . From this description it follows that the number of occurrences of η'_0 or $\bar{\eta}'_0$ in u'_k has an exponential lower bound.

Note that ϕ_k is represented by the homotopy equivalence $\Phi'_k: G' \rightarrow G'$ which restricts to the identity on $G' \setminus \eta'_1 = H'_0 \cup \eta'_0 \cup H'_1 \cup H'_2$ and satisfies $\Phi'_k(\eta'_1) = \eta'_1 u'_k$.

We are now ready to adapt the proof of Case 1 to proving Case 2. Choose a free factor $B < F_n$ in the conjugacy class $[H]$. Choose the free factors A_0, A_1 in the conjugacy classes $[A_0] = [H_0]$, $[A_1] = [H_1]$ so that $B = A_0 * A_1$. For an arbitrary vertex $[(G^*, \rho^*)] \in \mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$, let S be the minimal B -subtree of $\tilde{G}^*$. The minimal A_0 and A_1 subtrees S_{A_0}, S_{A_1} are disjoint from each other and from each of their non-identical translates by elements of B , so the quotient graph K obtained from S_B by dividing out by the action of B contains disjoint core subgraphs K_{A_0} and K_{A_1} such that $[K_{A_0}] = A_0$ and $[K_{A_1}] = A_1$. Since the rank of B is the sum of the rank of A_1 and the rank of A_2 , the complement of $K_{A_0} \cup K_{A_1}$ in K is a single natural edge E . Given $c \in F_n$ that is not contained in B , define $i(c, G^*)$ to be the number of times that the projection of $L_c \cap S$ into K completely crosses E . Given a conjugacy class $\gamma \in F_n$ that is not represented by an element in B , define $i(c, G^*)$ to be the maximum of $i(c, G^*)$ over all elements of F_n representing γ . The proof of Lemma 21 easily adapts to this situation, with the conclusion that if γ is not represented by an element of B then the map $G^* \mapsto i(\gamma, G^*)$ is an integer valued 2-Lipschitz function on the vertex set of $\mathcal{K}_n^{\mathcal{F}}$.

One last issue is to choose an appropriate conjugacy class γ_0 . In the connected case we chose γ_0 to be represented by the loop edge whose role is played here by η'_1 , but η'_1 does not

form a loop in G' . Instead we choose γ_0 to be the conjugacy class represented in G' by a loop of the form $\gamma' = \rho' \eta'_1 \sigma' \bar{\eta}'_1$. We choose ρ' to any loop in H'_2 . We choose σ' to be a loop in $H' = H'_0 \cup \eta'_0 \cup H'_1$ based at v'_1 , taking care to avoid cancellation in the path

$$\Phi'_k(\gamma') = \Phi'_k(\rho') \Phi'_k(\eta'_1) \Phi'_k(\sigma') \Phi'_k(\bar{\eta}'_1) = \rho' \eta'_1 u'_k \sigma' \bar{u}'_k \bar{\eta}'_1$$

The two places where cancellation can occur is at the concatenation points of $u'_k \sigma'$ and of $\sigma' \bar{u}'_k$. Since u'_k is a concatenation of the positively oriented edges $e'_1, \dots, e'_m$ and copies of η'_0 and $\bar{\eta}'_0$, we need only choose σ' to start with some positively oriented edge $e'_1, \dots, e'_m$ and to end with some negatively oriented edge $\bar{e}'_1, \dots, \bar{e}'_m$. For example, taking e'_{l_0} in H'_0 and e'_{l_1} in H'_1 we may take $\sigma' = e'_{l_1} \bar{\eta}'_0 e'_{l_0} \eta'_0 \bar{e}'_{l_1}$. Note that $i(\gamma_0, G) = 2$, coming from the 2 times that σ' crosses η'_0 or $\bar{\eta}'_0$. Also, letting γ_k be the conjugacy class represented by the loop $\Phi'_k(\gamma')$, and noting that this loop has a single subpath $u'_k \sigma' \bar{u}'_k$ in the subgraph H' , and that this subpath has an exponentially growing number of occurrences of η'_0 or $\bar{\eta}'_0$, it follows that $i(\gamma_k, T)$ has an exponential lower bound in k .

We therefore have $|i(\gamma_0, G\phi_k) - i(\gamma_0, G)| = i(\gamma_k, G) - 2$ which has an exponential lower bound, and so the $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ -word length of ϕ_k has an exponential lower bound, completing the proof in Case 2.

Case 3: Free factor systems with ≥ 3 components. We reduce to case 2 as follows. We wish to prove that $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ is distorted, where $\mathcal{F} = \{[A_0], [A_1], \dots, [A_{M-1}]\}$ has $M \geq 3$ components. As in case 2, let G' be a marked graph having rose subgraphs H'_0, H'_1, H'_2 , an edge η'_0 from the vertex $v'_0 \in H'_0$ to the vertex $v'_1 \in H'_1$, and an edge η'_1 from the vertex $v'_2 \in H'_2$ to v'_1 , so that the component $[A_0]$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is the free factor support of H'_0 , the component $[A_1]$ is the free factor support of H'_1 , but now we add the additional requirement that the remaining components $[A_2], \dots, [A_{M-1}]$ of $\mathcal{F}$ are supported by subroses of H'_2 . The construction of Case 2 produces a sequence $\phi_k \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ which stabilizes all free factor systems $\mathcal{A} \sqsubset \{[H'_0 \cup \eta'_0 \cup H'_1], [H'_2]\}$; this includes both of the free factor systems $\{[A_0], [A_1]\}$ and $\mathcal{F}$. Also, the construction proves that the word length of ϕ_k in $\text{Out}(F_n)$ has a linear upper bound and that its word length in $\text{Stab}\{[A_0], [A_1]\}$ has an exponential lower bound.

The group $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ acts on the finite set $\mathcal{F}$; let $\text{Stab}_0(\mathcal{F})$ be the kernel of this action, fixing each of the connected free factors $[A_0], [A_1], \dots, [A_{M-1}]$. It follows that $\text{Stab}_0(\mathcal{F})$ is a subgroup of $\text{Stab}\{[A_0], [A_1]\}$. Since the word length of ϕ_k in $\text{Stab}\{[A_0], [A_1]\}$ has an exponential lower bound, its word length in $\text{Stab}_0(\mathcal{F})$ must also have an exponential lower bound. Since $\text{Stab}_0(\mathcal{F})$ is a finite index subgroup of $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$, it follows that the word length of ϕ_k in $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{F})$ has an exponential lower bound, completing the proof in Case 3.

References

- [Ali02] E. Alibegović, *Translation lengths in $\text{Out}(F_n)$* , Geometriae Dedicata **92** (2002), 87–93.
- [BFH00] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, and M. Handel, *The Tits alternative for $\text{Out}(F_n)$. I. Dynamics of exponentially-growing automorphisms.*, Ann. of Math. **151** (2000), no. 2, 517–623.

- [Bur] J. Burillo, private correspondence.
- [BV95] M. Bridson and K. Vogtmann, *On the geometry of the automorphism group of a free group*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **27** (1995), no. 6, 544–552.
- [BV10] M. R. Bridson and K. Vogtmann, *The Dehn functions of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$* , Preprint arXiv:1011.1506v1, 2010.
- [CV86] M. Culler and K. Vogtmann, *Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 571–604.
- [ECH⁺92] D.B.A. Epstein, J. Cannon, D.F. Holt, S. Levy, M.S. Paterson, and W.P. Thurston, *Word processing and group theory*, Jones and Bartlett, 1992.
- [GS91] S. M. Gersten and H. Short, *Rational subgroups of biautomatic groups*, Ann. of Math. **134** (1991), 125–158.
- [Ham05] U. Hamenstadt, *Geometry of the mapping class groups II: (Quasi)-geodesics*, arXiv:math.GR/0511349, 2005.
- [Hat95] A. Hatcher, *Homological stability for automorphism groups of free groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **70** (1995), no. 1, 39–62.
- [Haw08] T. Hawkins, *Continued fractions and the origins of the Perron-Frobenius theorem*, Arch. Hist. Exact Sci. **62** (2008), no. 6, 655–717. MR MR2457065 (2009i:01018)
- [HV96] A. Hatcher and K. Vogtmann, *Isoperimetric inequalities for automorphism groups of free groups*, Pac. J. Math. **173** (1996), no. 2, 425–441.
- [HV98] ———, *Cerf theory for graphs*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **58** (1998), no. 3, 633–655.
- [Mil68] J. Milnor, *A note on curvature and fundamental group*, J. Diff. Geom. **2** (1968), 1–7.
- [MM00] H. Masur and Y. Minsky, *Geometry of the complex of curves II: Hierarchical structure*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 4, 902–974.
- [Ser80] J. P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer, New York, 1980.
- [Spa81] E. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, Springer, New York—Berlin, 1981.
- [Vog02] K. Vogtmann, *Automorphisms of free groups and outer space*, Proceedings of the Conference on Geometric and Combinatorial Group Theory, Part I (Haifa, 2000), vol. 94, 2002, pp. 1–31.
- [Š55] A. S. Švarc, *A volume invariant of coverings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **105** (1955), 32–34.